SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Microbiology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMB_34439**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Study of the antibacterial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the stem bark of Erythroxylum emarginatum (Erythroxylaceae)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The paper lacks introduction. Requires a presentation where the background, selected microorganisms and of *Erythroxylum emarginatum.*** **In this Introduction there should be bibliographical citations that support this research work.** **In Methods: They heated an oven to 500º Celsius? I do not think there is any organic substance capable of resisting it.** **The paper lacks fundamentals, arguments and reasoned conclusions.** **They should extend the work** **The bibliography is short and outdated**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	One of the key words could be "antimicrobial"	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Juan Manuel Talia***
Department, University & Country	***San Luis National University, Argentina***