SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Microbiology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMB_34439**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Study of the antibacterial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the stem bark of Erythroxylum emarginatum (Erythroxylaceae)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Paper is not written properly** **Author upon production of the petriplates images to justify that MIC in mm accept the paper or reject** **Author advised to justify how these extracts are better than ciprofloxacin** **Why author has not attempted for methanolic extract** **Please clarify the ethical issue if any.** **When collected strains from the sample of patients involves ethical issue.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**References are less**	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***C.D. Dayanand***
Department, University & Country	***Biochemistry, Sri Devaraj Urs Academy of Higher Education and Research Kolar, India***