



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_37259
Title of the Manuscript:	Laboratory Diagnosis of Bacterial Keratitis in Alexandria Main University Hospital
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Non	
Minor REVISION comments	1- Introduction is not sufficient. 2- Please added a figures for the slides done in the result section.	1- Unfortunately, the total number of words in the Introduction section is 1000 words. Thus, in relation to other sections, it is proportionate. 2- Done on line 174.
Optional/General comments	Some typographical errors should be corrected.	- Done