



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_37074
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF Salmonella typhi INFECTION IN KARU LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF NASARAWA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dear Editor, Thank you for choice as a reviewer of this article. This manuscript determines PREVALENCE OF Salmonella typhi INFECTION IN KARU LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF NASARAWA STATE, NIGERIA . The subject is interesting of a rather important public health issue. However, some issues have to be corrected prior to publication; 1- The paper needs language corrections before being published. The manuscript seems sloppy with multiple typos, misspellings and grammatical errors. 2- What kind of good things Reader can get? Please specifically state the aim of this study. 3- Please improve the methods section. methods poorly written, be corrected. 4- Please provide company and country names of all used materials, kits, culture media, and so. 5- References should be checked out for journal text rules. The manuscript doesn't contain newest references (2014-2017). Several references should be added newest one.	1. The aim of this study is captured in the abstract 2. Company names of used materials, kits and culture media has been provided. 3. Misspellings and grammatical errors has been edited 4. References have been revised
Minor REVISION comments	Text should be checked out for writing mistakes. References should be checked out for journal text rules. The manuscript doesn't contain newest references (2014-2017). Several references should be added newest one.	References have been revised
Optional/General comments	I think the manuscript is the result of a valuable piece of scientific research. The results presented can be used for medical sciences as far as the general public health is concerned. It can be publish after major revisions.	Thank you