



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_36015
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Spore Wall Protein 9 Gene Cloned from Nosema pernyi (Microsporidia) Isolated from Chinese Oak Silkworm, Antheraea pernyi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study is relevant and well designed, although is very poorly written in English. Because of this some parts are completely incomprehensible.	has been revised
Minor REVISION comments	There many minor errors, like " <u>Eenterocytozoon</u> and <u>Encyphalitozoon</u> " (line 25), "there are two infecting ways <u>founded</u> " (line 37), etc. Lines 130 to 132 bring journal instructions – "Results should be clearly described in a concise manner. Results for different parameters should be described under subheadings or separate paragraphs. Table or figures numbers should be mentioned in parentheses for better understanding". No scale bar is shown in figure 2.	the spelling errors have been revised these paragraph has been changed into two parts, the results and discussion. scale bar has been added
Optional/General comments	Correction by an English-native reviewer is mandatory.	has been revised