



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_34439
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the antibacterial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the stem bark of Erythroxylum emarginatum (Erythroxylaceae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper lacks introduction. Requires a presentation where the background, selected microorganisms and of <i>Erythroxylum emarginatum</i>. In this Introduction there should be bibliographical citations that support this research work. In Methods: They heated an oven to 500° Celsius? I do not think there is any organic substance capable of resisting it. The paper lacks fundamentals, arguments and reasoned conclusions. They should extend the work The bibliography is short and outdated	Thank you dear sir I have taken into account your concern with regard to the introduction. The oven used had rather a temperature of 40 °celcuis.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	One of the key words could be "antimicrobial"	I took into consideration