



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_34439
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the antibacterial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the stem bark of Erythroxylum emarginatum (Erythroxylaceae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	To give some literature review as concern the plant of study. To include phytochemical screening of the extracts. To give the concentrations of test drugs i.e. extracts and standard antibiotic used in determining the zone of inhibition. To arrange the references according to those mentioned first in the text.	De Wet H. Antibacterial activity of the five South African <i>Erythroxylaceae</i> species <i>African Journal of Biotechnology</i>, 2011; 10 (55), p. 11511-11514. We have already done the phytochemical screening and the results have been published in another journal so I did not want to mention in this study. The initial concentrations of extracts were: -Aqueous extract (200mg/ml) -Hydroethanolic extract (200mg/ml) -Ciprofloxacin(250mg/ml), and we proceeded at several dilutions
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	An informative article that can be short communication	