



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_45578
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Egirdir Nursing Home for Assessment of Landscape Preferences
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The abstract section needs to be recast. A good abstract must follow the IMRAD format (brief introduction, methods, results and discussions).</p> <p>2. The general syntax of the paper needs improvement.</p> <p>3. The methodology is not clear. The study type, sampling designs, data collection procedures and data analytical plan are conspicuously missing.</p> <p>4. The results are not chronologically presented and needs to be re-organized based on the specific objectives for the study.</p> <p>5. There is no 'discussion section', though the authors have explained a bit some of the findings from the study. A scholarly discussion that interprets the findings and associate them with existing literature must be carried out.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The recommendations are generally observations and need to be re-written. They must cite particular implementation actors and agencies who would ensure the smooth implementation of the suggestions made in the paper.	
Optional/General comments	The objective of the paper is very good as it aims at improving the general conditions of the elderly in the Egidir nursing home from the perspective of landscape assessment. However, the paper needs major corrections to improve its state.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dickson Adom
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana