#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_45377
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Development of Quantitative Neurotoxicity Testing In Vitro
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author wrote about the potential effect of rotenone, a botanic pesticide, in different neural cell line, rat PC12 cell, human NB1 cell and rat embryonic neural stem cells. The result showed the neurotoxicity effect, migration and proliferation inhibit and apoptosis extimulation.	
Minor REVISION comments	The article is well written and discussed but there are some points to be corrected. <i>In vitro</i> words are not in italics. In line 66 the number 2 of the $CO_2$ is not subscribed. When to use acronym put them the first time you use the expression. In the methods I suggest write how the data were analyzed and the number of replicates of the experiments. Figure 2 shows that at 1 $\mu$ M concentration there was a 40% decrease in viability, then the IC <sub>50</sub> was a higher value. Why in the experiments of rotenone exposed strains did not use the same treatment time? Standardize the formatting of the figures.	
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Daisy Machado
Department, University & Country	São Francisco University, Brazil

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write