SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Applied Life Sciences International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JALSI_45192**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Ameliorative potentials of Annona muricata (Linn) on Sodium Fluoride-induced Toxicity on Haematology indices and Fecundity of Adult Male Wistar Rats**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Line 22, non significant, include P=.05** **And also do the same in line 24.** **The microphotographs are not easily identified. Make it clear by identifying in bold the group to which they belong** **The pointers in almost all the microphotographs are not visible. Work on it.** **Line 458, ...and affects many other hormones necessary for energy...** **Line 493, ... what about the nephrones and hepacytes membrane permeabilities?** **Line 498, ... and fertility in general. Kindly include "at the tested dosages".** **In the conclusion and the concluding part of the abstract, try to include the fruits and the extracts impacts on the haematological studies in the statements.**	p- values have been inserted Corrections have been effected Report on haematological studies have been included in the abstract and conclusion
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This article is satisfactory	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	There are no ethical issues