

# Gliricidia leaf meal in Broiler Chickens Diet: Effects on Performance, Carcass, and Haemato-biochemical Parameters

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** This study seeks to access the influence of *Gliricidia* leaf meal on broiler chickens' growth performance, carcass traits, and haemato-biochemical parameters.

**Study design:** Completely randomized design.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The 56-day feeding trial was carried out at the Teaching and Research Farm of Agricultural Technology Department, The Federal Polytechnic, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria.

**Methodology:** Fresh *Gliricidia sepium* leaves were harvested, shade dried and milled to produce leaf meal. Three diets were formulated to contain *Gliricidia sepium* leaf meal (GLM) at 0 (control), 5 and 10 % level. A total number of 144 day-old chicks of *arbor acres* were assigned to 3 dietary treatments of 3 replicates of 16 chicks per replicate in a completely randomized design experiment.

### Results:

The total weight gain and total feed intake was lower ( $P<0.05$ ) in broiler chicken fed 10% GLM inclusive diet (1890.48 g/b and 5188.90 g/b) compare to the total weight gain and total feed intake of those fed the control (2188.04 g/b and 5754.14 g/b) and 5% GLM inclusive diet (2154.31 g/b and 5579.04 g/b). The slaughter weight, dressed weight and dressed percentage of birds fed 10% GLM inclusive diet were lower ( $P<0.05$ ) than those fed the control and 5% GLM inclusive diets. The liver, kidney and gizzard relative weights were higher ( $P<0.05$ ) in the birds fed 10% GLM inclusive diet compared to those fed rest diets. The white blood cell count of birds fed control diet and 5% GLM inclusive diet were similar ( $P>0.05$ ) but significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower than white blood cell counts of birds fed 10% GLM inclusive diet. The cholesterol levels of birds fed GLM inclusive diets (5 and 10%) were lower ( $P<0.05$ ) compare to those birds fed the control diet.

**Conclusion:** The dietary gliricidia leaf meal at 5% inclusion level supports normal body weight gain, feed intake, carcass traits and normal health status of the *broiler chickens*.

**Keywords:** *Broiler chickens; carcass; Gliricidia sepium; growth; leaf meal.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Poultry production has the good potential to bridge the protein gap in Nigerian diets because the high yielding exotic poultry breeds are easily adaptable to our environment, the relatively simple production technology required for their production is available and the return on investment is appreciably high [1]. However, feeding which accounts for 70 to 85 percent of the production cost of poultry [2] is an important aspect of livestock production. Therefore, judicious use of feed can enhance animal productivity and also reduce the cost of production [3,4].

The poultry production in Nigeria is experiencing declination and on the brink of collapse [5]. According to Esonu *et al* [6], about 30% of poultry farms in Nigeria are forced to reduce their production capacity while more than 50% have closed down due to shortage and a high price of feed [4]. This has also resulted in the reduction in the affordability of the poultry products by the average Nigerians. The price of concentrates like groundnut cake, fish meal and soybean meal which are the major conventional protein sources have soared so high that it is becoming uneconomical to use then in livestock feeds [6, 7]. It is therefore imperative to use unconventional or locally available and cheap feed resources that do not attract competition in consumption between humans and livestock in place of the more expensive conventional feed resources.

Leaf meal of some tropical legumes and browse plants could be a cheap source of protein [1, 7, 8]. Leafy vegetables have been found to be the rich source of protein/amino acids, vitamins and minerals [9, 10]. Earlier reports have established that leafy vegetables are cheapest and most abundant source of proteins because of their ability to synthesize amino acids from a wide range of available primary materials such as water, carbon dioxide and atmospheric nitrogen [11].

*Gliricidia* has the potential of producing large quantities of high-quality forage matter all- year round [12]. Leaf meal from *Gliricidia sepium* has high feeding value with crude protein of 20-30% of dry matter, the crude fibre of 15% and in-vitro digestibility of 60-65% [13]. The high quality of calcium and

34 adequate concentration of other mineral elements of *Gliricidia* leaf also makes it a potential feedstuff  
35 for poultry [14].

36 In a study conducted by Kagya-Agyemang *et al* [15], carcass dressing percentage of the birds fed  
37 control diet was comparable with those birds fed 10% *Gliricidia* leaf meal inclusive diet. Odunsi *et al*  
38 [14] in their report recommended 5% *Gliricidia* leaf meal inclusion for the laying hen while Oloruntola  
39 *et al.*, [8] recommended 5% *Gliricidia* leaf meal for broiler chicken starter. The physiological life stage  
40 of the birds has an effect on their response to feed and there is dirt of information on the effect of  
41 dietary *Gliricidia* leaf meal on performance, carcass, haematological and serum biochemical indices of  
42 broiler chicken [8]. This study, therefore, seeks to evaluate the effects of *Gliricidia* leaf meal on broiler  
43 chicken using growth performance, carcass traits, haematological and serum biochemical indices as  
44 response criteria.

## 45 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 46 2.1 Experimental Site

47  
48 This feeding trial was carried out at the Poultry Unit of the Teaching and Research Farm, Agricultural  
49 Technology Department, The Federal Polytechnic Ado Ekiti, Nigeria. The study area is located  
50 between latitudes 7°37'N and 7°12'N and longitudes 5°11'E and 5°31'E. The mean annual rainfall is  
51 1247 mm with relative humidity from between 70 to 85%. The location is situated at about 437 mm  
52 above sea level with a mean annual temperature of 26.2°C.

### 53 2.2 Experimental Diets

54 The *Gliricidia sepium* leaves were harvested fresh from Teaching and Research Farm of the  
55 Agricultural Technology Department, The Federal Polytechnic Ado Ekiti, Nigeria and spread lightly on  
56 clean tarpaulin under the shed. The shade-dried leaves were milled using hammer mill to produce  
57 *gliricidia* leaf meal (GLM) and stored in sacks under room temperature prior to laboratory analysis and  
58 usage.

59 Thereafter, a basal diet (diet 1) was formulated for each phase (starter and finisher) to meet the NRC  
60 [16] minimum requirement for broiler chicken. Two other diets (diets 1 and 2) were formulated to  
61 contain GLM at 5 and 10% level, respectively. All the diets were isocaloric and isonitrogenous (Table  
62 1 and 2). The compositions of GLM were determined according to AOAC [17] methods. The cyanide  
63 content was determined by the silver nitrate method [18], while tannin was determined as described  
64 by Makkar and Goodchild [19].

65

66

67

**Table 1. Gross composition of the starter phase diets**

Ingredients (%)	Diet 1	Diet 2	Diet 3
Maize	59.00	56.20	53.40
<i>Gliricidia</i> Leaf meal	0.00	5.00	10.00
Soybean meal(42%CP)	17.00	14.80	14.00
Groundnut cake	15.30	15.30	13.70
Fish meal	5.00	5.00	5.00
Bone meal	2.00	2.00	2.00
Oyster shell	0.50	0.50	0.50
Premix*(vitamins/minerals)	0.25	0.25	0.25
Methionine	0.30	0.30	0.30
Lysine	0.15	0.15	0.15
Salt	0.30	0.30	0.30
Vegetable oil	0.20	0.20	0.40
Total	100	100	100
<b>Calculated analysis (%)</b>			
Crude protein	23.63	23.83	24.00
Crude fibre	3.72	3.76	4.21
Calcium	1.38	1.38	1.38
Phosphorus	0.59	0.59	0.59

68 Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg) 3049.26 3026.55 3016.69  
 69 \*Contained vitamins A (8,500,000 IU); D3 (1,500,000 IU); E (10,000mg); K3 (1,500mg); B1  
 70 (1,600mg); B2 (4,000mg); B6 (1,500mg); B12 (10mg); Niacin (20,000mg); Pantothenic acid  
 71 (5,000mg); Folic acid (500mg); Biotin H2 (750mg); Choline chloride (175,000mg); Cobalt  
 72 (200mg); Copper (3,000mg); Iodine (1,000mg); Iron (20,000mg); Manganese (40,000mg);  
 73 Selenium (200mg); Zinc (30,000mg); and Antioxidant (1,250mg) per 2.5kg

74 **Table 2. Gross composition of the finisher phase diets**

<b>Ingredients (%)</b>	<b>Diet 1</b>	<b>Diet 2</b>	<b>Diet 3</b>
Maize	56.00	54.00	53.00
Gliricidia Leaf meal	0.00	5.00	10.00
Soybean meal(42%CP)	12.80	11.80	10.80
Groundnut cake	12.00	11.00	11.00
Wheat offals	9.00	8.00	5.00
Fish meal	5.00	5.00	5.00
Bone meal	3.00	3.00	3.00
Oyster shell	1.00	1.00	1.00
Premix*(vitamins/minerals)	0.25	0.25	0.25
Methionine	0.30	0.30	0.30
Lysine	0.15	0.15	0.15
Salt	0.30	0.30	0.30
Vegetable oil	0.20	0.20	0.20
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Calculated analysis (%)</b>			
Crude Protein	21.08	21.06	21.30
Crude fibre	3.39	3.78	4.04
Calcium	1.80	1.80	1.79
Phosphorus	0.78	0.76	0.72
Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)	2862.72	2860.49	2893.00

75  
 76 \*Contained vitamins A (8,500,000 IU); D3 (1,500,000 IU); E (10,000mg);  
 77 K3 (1,500mg); B1 (1,600mg); B2 (4,000mg); B6 (1,500mg); B12  
 78 (10mg); Niacin (20,000mg); Pantothenic acid (5,000mg); Folic acid (500mg);  
 79 Biotin H2 (750mg); Choline chloride (175,000mg); Cobalt (200mg); Copper  
 80 (3,000mg); Iodine (1,000mg); Iron (20,000mg); Manganese (40,000mg);  
 81 Selenium (200mg); Zinc (30,000mg); and Antioxidant (1,250mg) per 2.5kg  
 82

### 83 **2.3 Management of birds and Experimental layout**

84 A total number of 144 day-old chicks of **arbor acres** were assigned to 3 dietary treatments (3  
 85 replicates/treatment; 16 birds/replicate) in a completely randomized design experiment. Thereafter,  
 86 their respective starter and finisher experimental diets were fed *ad libitum* for 1-28 and 29-56 days  
 87 respectively during which the weekly feed consumption and weight changes were measured and feed  
 88 conversion ratio were calculated.

### 89 **2.4 Birds slaughtering, blood collection, carcass and relative organ evaluation**

90 On day 56 of the experiment, 3 birds per replicate were randomly selected and slaughtered by cutting  
 91 their jugular veins. Blood was allowed to flow freely into well-labeled ethylene diamine tetra-acetic  
 92 acid (EDTA) bottles and plain bottles for haematological and serum biochemical studies, respectively.  
 93 The blood samples for serum biochemical studies were spun and their serum was decanted and  
 94 frozen at -20°C prior to analysis. The slaughtered chicken was de-feathered after scalding in hot  
 95 water (at 60-65°C), dressed and eviscerated. The internal organs (liver, heart, lung, kidney, gall

bladder, proventriculus, gizzard, and spleen) were carefully excised out, weighed with a sensitive scale and expressed as a percentage of slaughter weight.

### 2.5 Blood parameter analyses

Packed cell volume (PCV), haemoglobin concentration (HbC), red blood cells (RBC), and white blood cells (WBC) were determined as described by Lambs [20]. Creatinine, cholesterol, aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase were determined with a Reflectron ® Plus 8C79 (Roche Diagnostic, GonbH Mannheim, Germany), using kits.

### 2.6 Computations and statistical analysis

All data on growth performance, carcass traits, and relative internal organ weights, blood parameters were subjected to one-way analysis of variance and where significant differences are found; the means were compared using Duncan Multiple Range Test using SAS version 9.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Composition of the *Gliricidia* leaf meal

The result of the composition of GLM is shown in Table 3. The GLM has relatively high crude protein (24.37%) and crude fibre (12.47%). Cyanide (0.68 mg/kg) and tannin (13.00 g/kg) was also detected in GLM. This suggests that GLM could be an important plant protein feed ingredient in livestock feeds formulation [21]. However, high fibre content and presence of cyanide and tannin could be a limiting factor to extent of GLM inclusion level because normal digestion interference by these anti-nutritional factors in animals had been reported [22, 23]. Consumption of sub-lethal dietary cyanide has reportedly caused impaired thyroid function and growth, neonatal deaths and lower birth rates in animals [24]. Tannins bring about their anti-nutritional influence by binding dietary proteins and digestive enzymes into not readily digestible complexes [25]. In addition, excess feeding of high fibre sources in monogastrics may lead to increased viscosity of the intestinal content, with a resulting decreased bioavailability of vitamin A, impaired dietary fats utilization and reduced body weight gain and carcass quality [25].

**Table 3. Composition of *Gliricidia* leaf meal**

Parameters	Quantity
Crude Protein (%)	24.37
Ash (%)	8.62
Crude fibre (%)	12.47
Ether Extract (%)	1.62
Nitrogen Free Extract (%)	45.39
*Gross Energy (kcal/100g DM)	385.74
Cyanide (mg/kg)	0.68
Tannin (%)	1.30

\*Gross Energy = 5.7 kcal/g CP + 9.5 kcal/g Fat + 4.0 kcal/g CHO, where CHO = crude fiber + nitrogen free extract [27].

### 3.2 Performance of the broiler chicken

Table 4 showed the effect of GLM on the performance of broiler chicken. The observed similar ( $P>0.05$ ) growth performance of birds fed 5% GLM inclusive diet (diet 2) compared to those fed the control diet (diet 1) in this study indicates nutritional adequacies and balances of the experimental diet 2 to support the normal growth of the broiler chickens. This result agreed with earlier reports of Oloruntola *et al.*, [28] who recorded un-impaired growth performance in broiler chickens fed 5 and 10% *Alchornea cordifolia* leaf meal inclusive diet. In addition, the potentials of phytochemicals or phytogenic feed additives as growth promoters in animal production was reported by Valenzuela-Grijalva *et al.*, [29]. The birds' final weight, total weight gain and daily weight gain of birds fed on 10% GLM inclusive diet (diet 3) was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower, compared to those fed on the control diet (0% GLM) and 5% GLM inclusive diet (diet 2). The observed depressed growth performances of the birds fed diet 3 in this study may be the product of the negative effects of anti-nutritional factors (e.g. cyanide and tannin) and high fibre in GLM on the birds [8]. This suggests that at 10% GLM inclusion level, the concentration of the anti-nutritional factors might have risen beyond the level that could be tolerated by the birds without having their growth performance impaired. Tannin, one of the anti-nutritional factors detected in GLM is known for causing decreased feed intake, growth rate and feed efficiency in monogastric animals [30]; while high dietary cyanide had been reported to be the possible cause of growth depression in rabbits fed processed cassava peels inclusive diets [22].

145 The total feed intake and daily feed intake of birds fed 5% GLM inclusive diet was similar ( $P>0.05$ ) to  
 146 those on the control diet but significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower in those fed 10% GLM inclusive diet. The  
 147 decrease feed intake recorded in birds may be due to the increased fibre content of the diet  
 148 incorporating 10% GLM [31] which increased the bulkiness of the feed and impaired its palatability [1,  
 149 8].

150  
 151

**Table 4. Effects of *Gliricidia* leaf meal on the performance of broiler chickens**

	Inclusion levels (%)			SEM	P value
	0 Diet 1	5 Diet 2	10 Diet 3		
Initial weight (g/bird)	37.53	37.52	37.49	0.02	0.85
Final weight (g/bird)	2225.58 <sup>a</sup>	2191.83 <sup>a</sup>	1927.98 <sup>b</sup>	56.80	0.03
Total weight gain (g/bird)	2188.04 <sup>a</sup>	2154.31 <sup>a</sup>	1890.48 <sup>b</sup>	56.79	0.03
Daily weight gain (g/bird/d)	39.07 <sup>a</sup>	38.46 <sup>a</sup>	33.75 <sup>b</sup>	1.01	0.03
Total feed intake (g/bird)	5754.14 <sup>a</sup>	5579.04 <sup>ab</sup>	5188.90 <sup>b</sup>	104.32	0.04
Daily feed intake	102.75 <sup>a</sup>	99.62 <sup>ab</sup>	92.65 <sup>b</sup>	1.86	0.04
Feed conversion ratio	2.62	2.59	2.75	0.03	0.23

152 Means within rows having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P<0.05$ )

153

### 154 3.3 Carcass traits and relative organs weight of the broiler chickens

155 Table 5 shows the effect of **GLM** on carcass traits and relative internal organ weights of broiler  
 156 chickens. The slaughtered weight, **dressing** weight and dressed percentage of broiler chickens fed the  
 157 control diet and 5% GLM inclusive diet were similar ( $P>0.05$ ) but significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) higher than  
 158 those fed the 10% GLM inclusive diet. This implies that GLM inclusion will support the normal  
 159 development of the muscle or the edible portion of the broiler chicken up to 5% level but declines at  
 160 10%. In addition, the result of the growth performance (total growth and daily growth) recorded in this  
 161 study followed the same trend as the carcass traits. A possible correlation between growth  
 162 performance and carcass traits of the experimental birds is suspected in this study. Olawumi [32] had  
 163 earlier reported a strong ( $P<0.001$ ) phenotypic correlation between live weight and dressed weight.  
 164 Only the liver, kidney and gizzard relative weights were affected ( $P<0.05$ ) by the dietary treatment in  
 165 this study (Table 5). The relative weights of liver, kidney, and gizzards were higher ( $P<0.05$ ) in birds  
 166 fed 10% GLM inclusive diet compared to those fed the control diet and 5% GLM inclusive diet. In  
 167 particular, the increased liver and kidney weights observed in these birds may be as a result of  
 168 possible high anti-nutritional factors concentration in 10% GLM inclusive diet as these organs may  
 169 have to be performing detoxification activities above the normal due to the relatively high  
 170 concentration of antinutritional factors. Some anti-nutritional factors and their breakdown products  
 171 may posse beneficial effect if present in small concentration; however, at high concentration (beyond  
 172 tolerable concentration) may produce certain harmful effects [30, 33]. In the same direction, the  
 173 enlargement of the gizzard being experienced in this study may be as a result of relatively high fibre  
 174 content of the 10% GLM inclusive diet. Increase in dietary fibre had been reported as one of the  
 175 causes of increased gizzard size in poultry [34, 35].

176

**Table 5. Effects of *Gliricidia* leaf meal on carcass traits and relative internal organ weights (%  
 177 slaughtered weight) of broiler chickens**

	Inclusion levels (%)			SEM	P value
	0 Diet 1	5 Diet 2	10 Diet 3		
Slaughtered weight (g/bird)	2183.81 <sup>a</sup>	2150.31 <sup>a</sup>	1886.35 <sup>b</sup>	56.81	0.03
Dressed weight (g/bird)	1925.81 <sup>a</sup>	1892.31 <sup>a</sup>	1628.35 <sup>b</sup>	56.81	0.03
Dressed percentage (%)	88.18 <sup>a</sup>	87.99 <sup>a</sup>	86.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.38	0.04
Liver	2.20 <sup>b</sup>	2.25 <sup>b</sup>	2.72 <sup>a</sup>	0.10	0.04
Heart	0.47	0.45	0.46	0.01	0.86
Kidney	0.73 <sup>b</sup>	0.77 <sup>b</sup>	0.94 <sup>a</sup>	0.04	0.01
Lung	0.43	0.46	0.53	0.02	0.06
Spleen	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.01	0.12
Gizzard	3.61 <sup>b</sup>	3.21 <sup>b</sup>	4.77 <sup>a</sup>	0.27	0.02
Proventriculus	0.64	0.55	0.69	0.04	0.32

179 Means within rows having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P<0.05$ )

180

### 181 3.4 Haemato-biochemical indices of the broiler chickens

182 The haematological indices in the experimental birds were not affected ( $P>0.05$ ) by the GLM inclusion  
 183 except for the white blood cells count (Table 6). The stability of packed cell volume, haemoglobin  
 184 concentration and red blood cell across the diets imply haematopoiesis was not affected by the GLM  
 185 inclusion up to 10%. The white blood cell count of birds fed 10% GLM inclusive diet was significantly  
 186 ( $P<0.05$ ) higher compared to those fed the control diet and 5% GLM inclusive diet. A high white blood  
 187 cell count in animals could be due to increased white blood production to fight an infection, reaction to  
 188 a drug, immunomodulatory effect of phytogetic feed supplements [36, 28]. This result agreed with  
 189 Oloruntola and Ayodele [37] who reported relatively higher white blood cells due to 10% pawpaw leaf  
 190 meal inclusion in rabbits' diet. Biochemical markers are useful tools in diagnosis [38]. Creatinine is  
 191 useful in renal dysfunction diagnosis; while aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase  
 192 are being used in the diagnosis of liver/biliary system diseases and myocardial injury/necrosis [39]. In  
 193 this study, the creatinine, aspartate aminotransferase, and alanine aminotransferase level in the  
 194 experimental birds were not affected ( $P<0.05$ ) by the dietary treatment (Table 6). This may suggest  
 195 that GLM inclusion up to 10% did not pose any threat to normal physiological and anatomical  
 196 functions of the kidney, liver, and heart of the experimental birds. This implies that kidney atrophy was  
 197 observed due 10% GLM inclusion being recorded in this study has not caused cellular damage  
 198 because these enzymes are tissue-specific and increase in the blood concentration indicates some  
 199 kind of tissue damage [37]. However, the blood cholesterol level was significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) lower in  
 200 birds fed 5 and 10% GLM inclusive diets compared to those fed the control diet. This result suggests  
 201 that GLM has some phytochemicals which promote hypocholesterolemic activities. Phytogetic feed  
 202 ingredients/additives were reported to possess hypocholesterolemic properties [30].  
 203

204 **Table 6. Effects of Gliricidia leaf meal on haematological and serum-biochemical indices of**  
 205 **broiler chickens**

	Inclusion levels (%)			SEM	P value
	0 Diet 1	5 Diet 2	10 Diet 3		
<i>Haematological indices</i>					
Packed cell volume (%)	27.27	27.65	27.23	0.95	0.98
Haemoglobin concentration (pg)	16.19	17.16	16.43	0.23	0.22
Red blood cells ( $\times 10^9/l$ )	2.34	2.35	2.53	0.06	0.47
White blood cells ( $\times 10^9/l$ )	114.89 <sup>b</sup>	115.24 <sup>b</sup>	116.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.26	0.01
<i>Serum-biochemical indices</i>					
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0.53	0.48	0.47	0.01	0.21
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	116.07 <sup>a</sup>	95.37 <sup>b</sup>	87.42 <sup>b</sup>	4.80	0.01
Aspartate aminotransferase ( $\mu/l$ )	411.77	420.94	322.26	17.07	0.97
Alanine aminotransferase ( $\mu/l$ )	5.53	5.59	5.72	0.08	0.70

206 Means within rows having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P<0.05$ )  
 207

#### 208 4. CONCLUSION

209  
 210 The dietary gliricidia leaf meal at 5% inclusion level supports normal weight gain, feed intake, carcass  
 211 traits and normal health status of the broiler chicks.  
 212  
 213  
 214  
 215

#### 216 REFERENCES

- 217 1. Esonu B.O, Emenalom OO, Udedibie ABI, Herbert U, Ekpor C.F, Okoli IC, Iheukwumere FC.  
 218 Performance and blood chemistry of weaner pigs fed raw Mucuna (Velvet bean) meal. Trop.  
 219 Anim. Prod. Invest. 2001; 4:49-54.
- 220 2. Opara CC. Studies of the use of *Alchornia cordifolia* leaf meal as the feed ingredient in poultry  
 221 diets. MSc Thesis. 1996; Federal University of Technology. Owerri, Nigeria.
- 222 3. Coon CN. Feeding egg-type replacement pullets. In: Commercial Chicken Meat and Egg  
 223 Production. (Bell, D.D and Weaver, W.D. Eds.). Cluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the  
 224 Netherlands, 2002; Pp 267-285.

- 225 4. Orusebio SM, Smile GO. Evaluation of palm kernel cake in replacing soybean meal as a protein  
226 source in broiler chicken production. Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of Animal  
227 Science Association Nigeria, Maiduguri. September 17<sup>th</sup> -19<sup>th</sup>, 2001. 2001; Pp. 39 – 42.
- 228 5. Aromolaran AK, Ademiluyi IO, Itebu OJ. Challenges of small poultry farms in layer production in  
229 Ibadan Oyo state Nigeria. Global J. Sci. Frontier Res. Agric. Vet. Sci. 2013; 13(2): 4-11.
- 230 6. Esonu BO, Iheukwumere FC, Emenalom OO, Uchegbu MC, Etuk EB. Performance, nutrient  
231 utilization and organ characteristics of broilers fed *Microdesmis puberula* leaf meal. Live. Res  
232 Rural Dev. 2002; 14(6).
- 233 7. Oloruntola OD, Daramola OT, Omoniyi SO. Effect of forages on performance, carcass cuts and  
234 haematological profile of weaner rabbits. Arch de Zootec. 2015; 64(245): 87-92.
- 235 8. Oloruntola OD, Ayodele SO, Agbede JO, Asaniyan EK. Performance and apparent digestibility of  
236 broiler starter fed diets containing *Gliricidia sepium* leaf meal. *Asian J. Biol. Life Sci.* 2016; 5(1):  
237 97-102.
- 238 9. Agbede JO. Characterization of the leaf meals, protein concentrates, and residues from some  
239 tropical leguminous plants. J. Food Sci. Agric. 2006; 86 (9): 1292 – 1297.
- 240 10. Agbede JO, Adegbenro M, Aletor O, Muhammed A. (2007): Evaluation of the nutritional value of  
241 *Vernonia amygdalina* leaf protein concentrates for infant weaning foods. ACTA, Alimentaria.  
242 2007; 36 (3): 387-393.
- 243 11. Fasuyi AO. Biochemical, nutrition and socio-economic aspects of cassava leaf utilization. Ph.D.  
244 Thesis. The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. 2000; Pp 90:83-97.
- 245 12. Chadhkar RA. *Gliricidia maculate*- a promising legume fodder plant. World Anim. Rev. 1982; 44:  
246 36-45.
- 247 13. Adejumo JO, Ademosun AA (1985). Effect of plant age at harvest and of cutting time frequency  
248 and height on the dry matter yield and nutritive value of *gliricidia* and *Cajanus cajan*. J. Anim.  
249 Prod. Res. 1985; 5: 1-12.
- 250 14. Odunsi AA, Ogunleke MO, Alagbe OS, Ajani TO. Effect of feeding *Gliricidia sepium* leaf meal on the  
251 performance and egg quality of layers. Int. J Poultr. Sci. 2002; 1 (1): 26-28.
- 252 15. Kagya-Agyemang JK, Takyi-Boampong G, Adjei M, Karikari-Bonsu FR. A note on the effect of  
253 *Gliricidia sepium* leaf meals on the growth performance and carcass characteristics of broiler  
254 chickens. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* 2007; 16: 104-108.
- 255 16. NRC. Nutrient requirement of Poultry. The nutrient requirement of farm animals. (National  
256 Academic of Science) 9<sup>th</sup> Revised edition. 1994.
- 257 17. AOAC. Association of Official Analytical Chemistry, Official Methods of Analysis 16th edition,  
258 Washington D.C. 1995.
- 259 18. Oboh G, Akindahunsi AA, Oshodi AA. Nutrient and antinutrients content of *Aspergillus niger*  
260 fermented cassava products (flour and gari). J. Food Comp. Anal. 2002; 15: 6127-622.
- 261 19. Makkar HPS, Goodchild MO. A bioassay for polyphenol (tannin In Vercauteren, J, Cheze, C,  
262 Dumon, M. C (Eds), Proceeding of the international conference of polyphenols. Polyphenols  
263 Comm.1996: 96(1):197- 198.
- 264 20. Lambs GN. Manual of veterinary laboratory technique. CIBA-GEIGY. Kenya. 1981; Pp. 96-107.
- 265 21. Oloruntola OD, Ayodele SO, Agbede JO, Oloruntola DA. Effect of feeding chickens with diets  
266 containing *Alchorneal cordifolia* leaf meal and enzyme supplementation. Arch. de Zootec. 2016;  
267 65(252):489-498.
- 268 22. Oloruntola OD, Agbede JO, Onibi GE, Igbasan FA. Replacement value of rumen liquor  
269 fermented cassava peels for maize in growing rabbit. Arch de Zootec. 2016; 65(249): 89-97.
- 270 23. Barletta A (2011). Introduction: current market and expected developments. 2011;  
271 Pp. 1 – 11. In: Bedford MR and Patridge, GG (Eds.). Enzymes in Farm Animal Nutrition. CABI.  
272 Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- 273 24. Fatufe AA, Akanbi IO, Saba GA, Olowofeso O, Tewe OO (2007). Growth performance and  
274 nutrient digestibility of growing pigs fed a mixture of palm kernel meal and cassava peel meal.  
275 Livest. Res. Rural Dev. 2007; 19, Article #180. Retrieved September 26, 2008, from  
276 <http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd19/12/fatu19180.htm>.
- 277 Dei HK, Rose SP, Mackenzie AM. (2007). Shea nut (*Vitellaria paradoxa*) meal as a feed  
278 ingredient for poultry. World's Poultry Sci. J. 2007; 63(4):611-624.
- 279 25. Janssen WMMA, Carré B. Influence of fiber on digestibility of broiler feeds. In Recent Advances  
280 in Animal Nutrition, DJA Cole and W Haresign, Eds (Butterworth-Heinemann, London) 1985; Pp.  
281 78-93.
- 282 26. Ng WK, Wee KL. (1989). The nutritive value of cassava leaf meal in pelleted feed for Nile tilapia.  
283 Aquaculture. 1989; 83: 45-58.

- 284 27. Oloruntola OD, Ayodele SO, Agbede JO, Oloruntola DA, Ogunsipe MH, Omoniyi IS (2016).  
285 Effect of *Alchornea cordifolia* leaf meal and enzyme supplementation on growth, haematological,  
286 immunostimulatory and serum biochemical response of rabbits. Asian J. Biol. Life Sci. 2016;5(2):  
287 190-195.
- 288 28. Valenzuela-Grijalva NV, Pinelli-Saavedra A, Muhlia-Almazan A, Dominguez-Diaz D (2017).  
289 Dietary inclusion effects of phytochemicals as growth promoters in animal production. J. Ani.  
290 Sci. Techn. 2017; 59:8.
- 291 29. Gemede HF, and Ratta N. Antinutritional factors in plant foods: Potential health benefits and  
292 adverse effect. Int J. Nut. Food Sci. 2014; 3(4): 284-289.
- 293 30. Osei SA, Opoku RS, Atuahene CC. Gliricidia leaf meal as an ingredient in layer diets. Anim.  
294 Feed Sci. Tech. 1990; 29: 303-308.
- 295 31. Olawumi SO. Phenotypic correlations between live body weight and carcass traits in **Arbor acres**  
296 breed of broiler chicken. Int. J. Sci. Nature. 2013; 4(1): 145-149.
- 297 32. Ayodele SO, Oloruntola OD, Agbede JO (2016). Effect of *Alchornea cordifolia* leaf meal inclusion  
298 and enzyme supplementation on performance and digestibility of rabbits. World Rabbit Sci. 2016;  
299 24: 201-206.
- 300 33. González-Alvarado JM, Jiménez-Moreno E, Valencia DG, Lázaro R, Mateos GG. Effects of fiber  
301 source and heat processing of the cereal on the development and pH of the gastrointestinal tract  
302 of broilers fed diets based on corn or rice. Poult. Sci. 2008; 87: 1779-1795.
- 303 34. Mateos GG, Jimenez-Moreno E, Serrano MP, Lazaro RP (2012). Poultry response to high level  
304 of dietary fibre sources varying in physical and chemical characteristics. The J. Appl. Poultry  
305 Res. 2012; 21(1): 156-174.
- 306 35. Sultana R, Khanam S, Devi K (2011). Immunomodulatory effect of methanol extract of *Solanum*  
307 *xanthocarpum* fruits. Int. J. Pharma. Sci. Res. 2011; 2(2): 93-97.
- 308 36. Oloruntola OD, Ayodele SO. Pawpaw leaf meal and exo-enzyme in rabbit diet: Effect on  
309 haematological and serum biochemical indices. Asian J. Agric. Res. 2017; 2(4): 1-8.
- 310 37. Tormey WP. The diagnosis and management of acute myocardial infarction-a role for  
311 biochemical markers? Ann Clin Biochem. 1996; 33: 477-81
- 312 38. Lording PM, Friend SCE. Interpretation of laboratory results. Aust.Vet.Pract. 1991; 21(4):188-  
313 193.