



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_43779
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE OF OKRA ( <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (L.) Moench) AND WEEDS TO PLANT SPACING AND WEEDING REGIME IN A HUMID FOREST AGRO-ECOLOGY OF SOUTH-EASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The article is based on two-season field experiment. Title and abstract are appropriate to the study. Sufficient information and justification are provided in the introduction with defined problem and objective. Appropriate methods and procedures were followed. Results are presented interpreted nicely. Discussion is scientific with supporting references. Conclusion is sound with good practical applicability. References need revision as per guidelines of the journal.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Indicated in the manuscript	Errors are corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Weed index and weed control efficiency should be worked out	Done

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	