

**Original Research Article****Microbiological and Physicochemical Characteristics of Sheep Milk Heated with Charcoal, Gas and Microwave****ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** This investigation was conducted to study the effect of heat treatment on the physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of sheep milk.

**Methodology:** Samples were collected from different farms in Shambat, Khartoum State. Raw milk was heated using charcoal, gas and microwave at 99°C/12 min, then cooled to 4°C and stored for 10 days.

**Results:** The analyses were carried out at 1, 3, 7 and 10- day intervals. Results showed that milk treated with charcoal had higher values for all physicochemical characteristics except lactose and acidity which were higher in milk treated with microwave. The storage period significantly affected all physicochemical characteristics except protein and ash contents. Total bacterial and lactobacilli counts were significantly higher in milk treated with charcoal and gas. During the storage period, total bacterial and lactic acid bacteria counts significantly increased towards the end. The identification of bacteria of the genus level revealed that *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Staphylococcus* and *Bacillus* were found during storage.

**Conclusion:** In Third World Countries, charcoal is used for heating all foods which results in destruction of vegetation leading to desertification, therefore, it was interesting to use alternative means of heat treatment that do not harm the environment.

**Keywords:** Heat treatment, microbiological, physicochemical, sheep milk, storage period

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Milk is a secretion of the mammalian gland with varying physical characteristics and composition between species. It is a complex oil-in-water emulsion that contains fat, proteins, lactose, minerals, enzymes, cells, hormones, immunoglobulins, and vitamins [1]. The microbiological quality of milk may be affected by adulteration of milk, contamination during and after milking, mastitis, milking method, animal health, stage of lactation, season, feeding and the hygiene in the farm [2]. Information on physicochemical characteristics of sheep milk is essential for successful development of dairy industry in addition to marketing the products [3]. Sheep milk coagulates firmer than cow milk because it contains higher dry matter content [4]. Temperature and heat treatment time are very important factors that must be specified in relation to the quality and shelf-life of the milk [5], thus heat treatment of milk (holding method of pasteurization, 63°C for >30 minutes; or high-temperature-short-time method of pasteurization, 71.7°C for 15 seconds) effectively destroys most of the microbial population including pathogenic bacteria [6]. It was indicated that the major nutrients are left unchanged by pasteurization and that thiamin, folate, B<sub>12</sub> and riboflavin experienced losses from zero to 10% [7].

Because of concerns that some potentially dangerous microorganisms may survive conventional pasteurization of milk and because the heat needed to sterilize milk affects marketability, the ability to efficiently cold pasteurize milk may become more desirable [8]. Methods of heat treatment include thermization, low temperature long time, high temperature short time, sterilization and ultra-high temperature [9]; however, in many rural areas traditional methods such as boiling with charcoal are the methods of choice [10, 11]. Recently, many methods other than heat treatment were used to improve the quality of fresh milk which include ultraviolet treatment [12, 13], pulsed UV laser light [14], microwave [11, 15], membrane processing [16], microfiltration [17] and gas [10, 11]. This study is conducted to evaluate the effect of heat treatment method and storage period on physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of sheep milk.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1 Source of milk**

Fresh raw sheep milk was obtained from different farms in Shambat area, Khartoum North, Sudan.

### **2.2 Sampling of milk**

Milk samples were aseptically transferred into sterile glass bottles and transported in ice box at  $\leq 4^{\circ}\text{C}$  to the laboratory. During analysis, the samples were first aseptically drawn for microbiological examination, and then samples for physicochemical analysis were drawn. The samples were heat-treated on arrival to the laboratory.

### **2.3 Method of heat treatment**

Milk was heat-treated by charcoal, gas and microwave at  $99^{\circ}\text{C}/12$  min, followed by cooling to  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  and stored immediately at this temperature for 10 days. Physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of milk were determined for raw milk and milk heat-treated at 1, 3, 7 and 10-day intervals.

### **2.4 Determination of physicochemical characteristics of milk**

Physicochemical characteristics (fat, protein, SNF and density) of milk samples were determined using Lactoscan 90 milk analyzer (Aple Industries Service-La Roche Sur Foron, France). Milk samples were mixed gently 4-5 times to avoid any air enclosure in the milk, then 5 ml of the sample were taken in the sample-holder, one at a time and put in the sample holder with the analyzer in the recess position. The starting button was inactivated, the analyzer sucked the milk, the measurements were taken and the results were shown on the digital display.

### **2.5 Determination of ash content, titratable acidity and pH**

The ash content and titratable acidity were determined according to AOAC [18], while the pH was determined using pH meter (Hanna-instrument model 98107, Mauritium). Before determination, pH meter was calibrated using buffer solutions No. 4 and 7.

### **2.6 Microbiological examination**

#### **2.6.1 Preparation of sample dilutions**

Ten milliliters of the sample were added to 90 ml of sterile 0.1% peptone water at  $45^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a clean sterile flask, then shaken until a homogenous solution was obtained to make  $10^{-1}$  dilution. One ml from the above-mentioned dilution ( $10^{-1}$ ) was aseptically transferred to 9 ml sterile distilled water. This procedure was repeated to make serial dilutions of  $10^{-2}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ ,  $10^{-4}$ ,  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-6}$ ,  $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-8}$ .

#### **2.6.2 Total viable bacteria count**

The total viable bacteria count was determined according to Houghtby et al. [19] using standard plate count agar, and the plates were incubated at  $32^{\circ}\text{C}/48$  hr.

#### **2.6.3 Lactic acid bacteria count**

The lactic acid bacteria count was determined according to Harrigan [20]. One milliliter was transferred to Petri- dishes (duplicate) and the culture medium (M17 agar) was poured aseptically into each Petri-dish, mixed gently and incubated at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}/72$  hr under anaerobic conditions using anaerobic jars.

#### 2.6.4 Identification of bacteria

Bacterial were isolated to the genus level by Gram stain, catalase, oxidase, motility, oxidation fermentation (O/F) and endospore staining tests [21].

#### 2.7 Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS, ver. 9). General linear model (GLM) procedure was used to determine the effect of source of heat and storage period on the physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of milk. Mean separation was carried out by Duncan multiple range test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of milk heated with different sources of heat

The results in Table 1 show that the source of heat applied to milk significantly affected ( $P < 0.01$ ) the fat content being high ( $6.97 \pm 0.84\%$ ) in milk treated with charcoal and low ( $6.16 \pm 0.84\%$ ) in milk heated by microwave. The protein content was high ( $P < 0.01$ ) in milk heated with charcoal ( $4.28 \pm 0.23\%$ ) and low ( $3.85 \pm 0.23\%$ ) in milk heated by gas. TS content in this study was higher ( $p < 0.01$ ) in milk heated by charcoal ( $17.16 \pm 0.15\%$ ) and decreased to  $16.63 \pm 0.15\%$  and  $16.58 \pm 0.15\%$  in milk heated by gas and microwave respectively. The above results disagree with those reported by Abdalla and Raffaella [10] who reported that the protein and total solids contents showed great losses when milk was heated with charcoal. Iliana et al. [22] reported a decreasing concentration of milk proteins with the increase of microwave exposure. The results disagree with those recorded by Aktar et al. [23] who showed that total solids content increased from 7.4% in fresh un-boiled milk to 10.39% in boiled milk. In the current study milk heated by charcoal had slightly higher SNF content ( $10.06 \pm 0.57\%$ ), while acidity was higher in milk heated by microwave ( $0.31 \pm 0.02\%$ ). Slightly higher ash content ( $0.71 \pm 0.02\%$ ) was reported in milk heated by charcoal, and the pH was high ( $6.29 \pm 0.44\%$ ) in milk heated by gas. The results disagree with Abdalla and Raffaella [10] who found that the ash content was not significantly affected by the source of heat, although milk heated by charcoal had higher ash content ( $0.84 \pm 0.25\%$ ), compared to milk heated by gas ( $0.78 \pm 0.09$ ) and solar energy ( $0.81 \pm 0.17\%$ ). The total viable bacteria count was significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) affected by the source of heat treatment with the higher count being in milk heated by charcoal ( $\log_{10} 2.35 \pm 1.32$ ) and gas ( $\log_{10} 2.34 \pm 1.32$ ) and low in milk heated by microwave ( $\log_{10} 2.29$  cfu/ml). The results are in accord with the findings of Abdalla and Raffaella [10] who found the total viable bacteria count to decrease after heat treatment of sheep milk with charcoal, gas and solar energy with the lowest decrease being in milk heated by charcoal. The count of lactobacilli was significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) lower in milk heated by microwave compared to other sources. This means that microwave has a detrimental effect on lactobacilli.

#### 3.2 Effect of storage period on the quality of milk heated with charcoal, gas and microwave

The change has taken place during storage depending on the temperature of storage, extent of exposure of the milk to light and availability of oxygen. The effect of storage period on the physicochemical and microbiological characteristics is shown in Table 2. The fat content and pH decreased with the advancement of storage period, while protein, TS, lactose, SNF, ash and acidity increased as the storage period progressed from day 1 to day 10. The total viable bacteria and lactobacilli counts increased with storage period, reaching the highest at the end. Fat content decreased during the storage period in milk heated by all sources, while pH decreased in milk heated by gas and charcoal only. Protein and TS contents decreased in milk heated by gas only. Lactose content decreased in milk treated with charcoal, while SNF decreased in milk treated by gas and microwave. Acidity increased as the storage period progressed in all treatments. TVBC and LAB

counts increased as the storage period progressed in milk treated with all sources of heat (Table 3). These results are not in line with Dumuta-Codre et al. [24] who reported a significant reduction of the colonies number of microflora as the microwave time exposure increased. The results also are in disagreement with those reported by Birginin et al. [25] who reported that pasteurization of milk resulted in an increase in fat content by 94% and in protein by 1.19%. Similar findings were obtained by Abo–Elnaga [26] who reported that standard plate count reduced after boiling, and Data et al. [27] who reported that, ultra high treatment processing of milk and its subsequent storage causes several changes, which affect the shelf life of milk although it remains commercially sterile. Abdalla et al. [11] reported that, as the storage period progressed, the acidity of milk heated with gas and charcoal decreased, while of that heated with microwave increased. However, Abdalla and Raffaella [10] reported no significant effect of heating on ash content of milk. In another study, Korhonen et al. [28] reported that heat sterilization of milk is essential to ensure total microbial safety and enzymatic stability. Microwave heat treatment of cow or human milk is effective in reducing bacterial counts [29]. Jaynes [30] performed standard plate and coliform counts on microwave and control pasteurized milk, and indicated comparable count reductions by the two treatments at all three rates of 200, 300 and 400 mL/min through the microwave heater. Al-Hilphy and Ali [31] reported that flash pasteurization of milk by the microwave reduced the total bacterial count from  $47 \times 10^5$  before flash pasteurization to  $23 \times 10^1$  after flash pasteurization.

### 3.3 Identification of bacteria in sheep milk heated by gas, charcoal and microwave

Bacteria were isolated post heat treatments and every 3 days of storage. The identification of bacteria isolated from milk is shown in Table 4. The following genera were isolated and identified: *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Staphylococcus* and *Bacillus* (Table 4). Abdalla et al. [11] identified the genus *Bacillus* from raw goat milk, and the genera *Staphylococcus* and *Bacillus* from goat milk heated by charcoal, gas and microwave. O'Connor [32] reported that the species of bacteria found in milk as it comes from the udder are limited to few genera such as micrococci which are generally present in the greatest proportion, followed by streptococci and rods.

## 4. CONCLUSION

This study is designed to compare the conventional method of heating milk with the most advanced methods that do not harm the environment in order to convince the people in remote areas to use these methods as alternative to the conventional. The source of heat significantly affected the fat, protein, total solids contents and total bacteria and lactobacilli counts.

## REFERENCES

1. Balthazar CF, Pimentel TC, Ferrao LL, Almada CN, Santillo A, Albenzio M, Mollakhalili N, Mortazavian AM, Nascimento JS, Silva MC, Freitas MQ, Sant'Ana AS, Granato D, Cruz AG. Sheep Milk: Physicochemical Characteristics and Relevance for Functional Food Development. *Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety*. 2017; 16: 247-262.
2. Beldjil AAF, Benlahcen K, Guessas B, Aggad H, Kihal M. Evaluation of microbiological and sanitary quality of ewe's raw milk in Western of Algeria and detection of antibiotic residue by Delvotest. *Advances in Environmental Biology*. 2013; 7 (6): 1027-1033.
3. Park Y, Juárez M, Ramos M, Haenlein G. Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. *Small Ruminant Research*. 2007; 68 (1-2): 88-113.
4. Ebing P, Rutgers K. Preparation of Dairy Products. Agrodok-series No. 36. Agromisa Foundation and CTA, Wageningen. 2006.
5. Teknotext AB. Dairy Processing Handbook: Tetra Pak Processing Systems AB S-221 86 Lund, Sweden. 2003
6. Zottola E, Smith L. Pathogens in cheese. *Food microbiology*. 1991; 8 (3): 171-182.
7. Haddad GS, Loewenstein M. Effect of several heat treatments and frozen storage on thiamine, riboflavin and ascorbic acid content of milk. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 1983; 66 (8): 1601-1606.

8. Smith WL, Lagunas-Solar MC, Cullor JS. Use of pulsed ultraviolet laser light for the cold pasteurization of bovine milk. *Journal of Food Protection*. 2002; 65 (9): 1480-1482.
9. Gedam K, Prasad R, Vijay VK. The study on UHT processing of milk: A versatile option for rural sector. *World Journal of Dairy Food Sciences*. 2007; 2 (2): 49-53.
10. Abdalla MOM, Daffalla MS. Comparison of Chemical and Microbiological Parameters of Charcoal versus Gas and Solar Energy Treated Milk. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2010; 2 (5): 286-290.
11. Abdalla MOM, Elsayed EAZ, Nour MAA. Effect of Source of Heat and Storage Period on Physicochemical and Microbiological Characteristics of Goat Milk. *International Journal of Dairy Science and Processing*. 2015; 2 (4):18-23.
12. Reinemann DJ, Gouws P, Cilliers T, Houck K, Bishop JR. New methods for UV treatment of milk for improved food safety and product quality. *Proceedings of the American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers' Annual International Meeting*; July 9-12; Portland, Oregon, USA. Paper No. 066088. 2006.
13. Matak KE, Sumner SS, Duncan SE, Hovingh E, Worobo RW, Hackney CR. Effects of ultraviolet irradiation on chemical and sensory properties of goat milk. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2007; 90 (7): 3178-3186.
14. Hosseini SM, Azar-Daryany MK, Reza-Massudi R, Elikaei, A. Pulsed UV laser light on *Escherichia coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* suspended in non-alcoholic beer. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology*. 2011; 3 (1):31-35.
15. Clare DA, Beng WS, Cartwright G, Darke MA, Coronel P, Simunovic J. Comparison of sensory, microbiological and biochemical parameters of microwave versus indirect UHT fluid skim milk during storage. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2005; 88 (12): 4172- 4182.
16. Eckner FK, Zottola EA. Potential for the low-temperature pasteurization of dairy fluids using membrane processing. *Journal of Food Protection*. 1991; 54 (10): 793-797.
17. Elwell MW, Barbano DM. Use of microfiltration to improve fluid milk quality. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2006; 89 (Suppl. 1): E20-E30.
18. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 17<sup>th</sup> edition. Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC International, USA. Official Methods 920.124, 926.08, 955.30, 2001.14. 2000.
19. Houghtby AG, Maturin LJ, Koenig KE. Microbiological count methods. In: *Standard Methods of the Examination of Dairy Products*. 16<sup>th</sup> edition. Marshal RT, (edt.). Washington, D.C.: American Public Health Association, USA. 1992; 213-246.
20. Harrigan WF. *Laboratory Methods in Food Microbiology* 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. London; UK. Academic Press. 1998; 202-245.
21. Barrow GI, Feltham RKA. *Cowan and Steel Manual for the Identification of Medical Bacteria*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn., Cambridge University Press, London, UK. 1993.
22. Iliana C, Rodica C, Sorina R, Oana M. Impact of microwaves on the physicochemical characteristics of cow milk. *Romanian Reports in Physics*. 2015; 67 (2):423-430.
23. Akhtar D, Hashmi HS, Sattar A. Effect of post-boiling, cooling and refrigeration on microbial and physio- chemical quality of milk marketed through milkmen. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 1990; 6 (1): 13- 19.
24. Dumuta-Codre A, Rotaru O, Giurgulesca L, Boltea F, Crisan L, Neghelea B. Preliminary researches regarding the microwaves influence on the milk microflora. *Analele Universitatii din Ordea-Fascicula Biologie*. 2010; XVII (1): 103-107.
25. Bringin LG, Ugur M, Yildiz M. A partial supplementation of pasteurized milk with vitamin C, iron and zinc. *Nahrung*. 2003; 47 (1):17-20.
26. Abo Elnaga IG. Bacteria surviving boiling the raw milk and involved in later spoilage of the product. *Microbiologie, Aliments, Nutrition*. 1989; 16 (1): 43-52
27. Data N, Elliot AJ, Perkins ML, Deeth HC. Ultra-high treatment of milk: Comparison of direct and indirect methods of heating. *Australian Journal of Dairy Technology*. 2002; 57 (3): 211-227.
28. Korhonen H, Pihtanto-Leppala A, Rantamaki P, Tupasella T. Impact of processing on bioactive proteins and Peptides. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*. 1998; 9 (8-9): 307- 319.
29. Sigman M, Burke KI, Swarner OW, Shavlik GW. Effects of microwaving human milk: changes in IgA content and bacterial count. *Journal of American Dietetic Association*. 1989; 89 (5): 690-702.
30. Jaynes HO. Microwave pasteurization of milk. *Journal of Milk and Food Technology*. 1975; 38 (7): 386-387.
31. Al-Hilphy AR, Ali HI. Milk flash pasteurization by the microwave and study its chemical,

- microbiological and thermo physical characteristics. Journal of Food Process Technology. 2013; 4(7): 250. doi:10.4172/2157-7110.1000250.
32. O'Connor CB. Rural dairy technology. ILRI Training Manual. No. 1. 119p. Addis Ababa (Ethiopia): ILRI. 1995.

**Table 1. Effect of source of heat on physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of sheep milk**

Parameter	Raw milk	Source of heat			SE	P
		Charcoal	Gas	Microwave		
Fat (%)	6.58	6.97 <sup>a</sup>	6.61 <sup>b</sup>	6.16 <sup>c</sup>	0.84	<0.0001
Protein (%)	3.92	4.28 <sup>a</sup>	3.85 <sup>b</sup>	3.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.23	0.0012
Total Solids (%)	16.79	17.16 <sup>a</sup>	16.63 <sup>b</sup>	16.58 <sup>b</sup>	0.15	0.0038
Lactose (%)	5.22	5.30 <sup>a</sup>	5.07 <sup>a</sup>	5.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.30	1.456
Solids-non-fat (%)	9.95	10.06 <sup>a</sup>	9.77 <sup>a</sup>	10.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.57	0.9367
Ash (%)	0.86	0.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.02	1.185
Titrateable acidity <sup>1</sup>	0.17	0.29 <sup>a</sup>	0.23 <sup>a</sup>	0.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.02	1.790
pH	6.28	6.28 <sup>a</sup>	6.29 <sup>a</sup>	6.26 <sup>a</sup>	0.44	2.431
Total bacterial count <sup>2</sup>	8.19	2.35 <sup>a</sup>	2.34 <sup>a</sup>	2.29 <sup>b</sup>	1.32	0.0002
Lactobacilli count <sup>2</sup>	7.00	2.24 <sup>b</sup>	2.26 <sup>a</sup>	2.22 <sup>c</sup>	1.36	<0.0001

Means in a row bearing the same superscript are not significantly different at P>0.05.

SE= Standard error of means.

<sup>1</sup> expressed as % lactic acid

<sup>2</sup> Log cfu/ml

**Table 2. Effect of storage period on the chemical and microbiological quality of sheep milk**

Parameter	Storage period (days)				S.E.	S.L.
	1	3	7	10		
Fat (%)	7.26 <sup>a</sup>	7.16 <sup>a</sup>	6.22 <sup>b</sup>	5.68 <sup>c</sup>	0.26	**
Protein (%)	3.85 <sup>a</sup>	3.91 <sup>a</sup>	3.94 <sup>a</sup>	3.98 <sup>a</sup>	0.02	NS
Total Solids (%)	16.07 <sup>b</sup>	16.33 <sup>b</sup>	17.67 <sup>a</sup>	17.10 <sup>ab</sup>	0.65	*
Lactose (%)	5.00 <sup>bc</sup>	5.20 <sup>ab</sup>	5.30 <sup>a</sup>	5.39 <sup>a</sup>	0.10	**
Solids-non-fat (%)	9.72 <sup>b</sup>	9.90 <sup>ab</sup>	10.03 <sup>ab</sup>	10.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.16	**
Ash (%)	0.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.72 <sup>a</sup>	0.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.01	NS
Titrateable acidity <sup>1</sup>	0.28 <sup>ab</sup>	0.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.28 <sup>ab</sup>	0.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.02	***
pH	6.95 <sup>a</sup>	6.03 <sup>b</sup>	6.15 <sup>b</sup>	5.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.03	*
Total bacterial count	2.03 <sup>c</sup>	2.51 <sup>a</sup>	2.26 <sup>b</sup>	2.62 <sup>a</sup>	1.32	***
Lactobacilli count <sup>2</sup>	1.66 <sup>c</sup>	1.86 <sup>bc</sup>	2.27 <sup>b</sup>	2.51 <sup>a</sup>	1.30	***

Means in a row bearing the same superscript are not significantly different at P>0.05.

SE= Standard error of means.

<sup>1</sup> expressed as % lactic acid

<sup>2</sup> Log cfu/ml

**Table 3. Effect of storage period on the chemical composition and microbiological of sheep's milk heated by gas, charcoal and microwave**

Parameter	Gas						Charcoal						Microwave					
	1	3	7	10	p	SE	1	3	7	10	p	SE	1	3	7	10	p	SE
Fat %	5.75 <sup>ab</sup>	4.74 <sup>c</sup>	5.15 <sup>bc</sup>	4.40 <sup>c</sup>	<0.0001	0.28	5.79 <sup>ab</sup>	6.45 <sup>a</sup>	5.20 <sup>bc</sup>	4.45 <sup>c</sup>	<0.0001	0.28	6.22 <sup>a</sup>	6.20 <sup>a</sup>	6.00 <sup>ab</sup>	5.24 <sup>bc</sup>	0.00012	0.28
Protein %	3.54 <sup>b</sup>	3.53 <sup>b</sup>	3.76 <sup>ab</sup>	3.69 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0021	0.02	3.72 <sup>ab</sup>	3.74 <sup>ab</sup>	3.83 <sup>a</sup>	3.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.0234	0.02	3.66 <sup>ab</sup>	3.74 <sup>ab</sup>	3.76 <sup>ab</sup>	3.81 <sup>a</sup>	0.0201	0.02
TS %	14.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	14.48 <sup>c</sup> <sub>d</sub>	15.16 <sup>abc</sup>	14.90 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.0002	0.63	14.63 <sup>bcd</sup>	15.26 <sup>abc</sup>	15.26 <sup>abc</sup>	15.40 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0341	0.63	12.96 <sup>d</sup>	15.30 <sup>abc</sup>	16.67 <sup>ab</sup>	16.76 <sup>ab</sup>	<0.0001	0.63
Lactose %	4.68 <sup>d</sup>	4.81 <sup>cd</sup>	5.11 <sup>abc</sup>	5.06 <sup>abc</sup>	<0.0001	0.10	5.01 <sup>bcd</sup>	5.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	5.20 <sup>ab</sup>	5.35 <sup>a</sup>	0.0034	0.10	5.02 <sup>abc</sup>	5.04 <sup>abc</sup>	4.91 <sup>bcd</sup>	5.17 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0039	0.10
SNF %	9.15 <sup>cd</sup>	9.04 <sup>d</sup>	9.61 <sup>abcd</sup>	9.49 <sup>abcd</sup>	<0.0001	0.18	9.46 <sup>abcd</sup>	9.47 <sup>abcd</sup>	9.79 <sup>ab</sup>	10.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.0046	0.18	9.51 <sup>abcd</sup>	9.55 <sup>abcd</sup>	9.30 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.73 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0210	0.18
Acidity %	0.20 <sup>ab</sup>	0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	0.19 <sup>ab</sup>	0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	0.9023	0.01	0.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.20 <sup>ab</sup>	0.23 <sup>ab</sup>	0.29 <sup>ab</sup>	0.0210	0.01	0.20 <sup>ab</sup>	0.20 <sup>ab</sup>	0.28 <sup>ab</sup>	0.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.0230	0.01
Ash %	0.46 <sup>d</sup>	0.52 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.63 <sup>abc</sup>	0.63 <sup>abc</sup>	0.0014	0.01	0.58 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.49 <sup>dc</sup>	0.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.60 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.0040	0.01	0.58 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.66 <sup>ab</sup>	0.53 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.61 <sup>abc</sup>	0.00014	0.01
pH %	6.61 <sup>abc</sup>	6.16 <sup>abc</sup>	6.18 <sup>abc</sup>	6.11 <sup>abc</sup>	NS	0.03	6.74 <sup>a</sup>	6.07 <sup>c</sup>	6.15 <sup>abc</sup>	6.04 <sup>c</sup>	<0.0001	0.03	6.72 <sup>ab</sup>	6.01 <sup>c</sup>	6.08 <sup>bc</sup>	5.96 <sup>c</sup>	0.0400	0.03
TBC Log <sub>10</sub>	1.98 <sup>f</sup>	2.13 <sup>def</sup>	2.25 <sup>cd</sup>	2.63 <sup>a</sup>	<0.0001	1.19	2.05 <sup>ef</sup>	2.13 <sup>def</sup>	2.33 <sup>c</sup>	2.60 <sup>a</sup>	<0.0001	1.19	1.94 <sup>f</sup>	2.13 <sup>def</sup>	2.33 <sup>c</sup>	2.54 <sup>b</sup>	<0.0001	1.19
LAB Log <sub>10</sub>	1.65 <sup>de</sup>	1.92 <sup>de</sup>	2.28 <sup>c</sup>	2.59 <sup>a</sup>	0.0003	1.24	1.70 <sup>de</sup>	1.98 <sup>d</sup>	2.34 <sup>c</sup>	2.55 <sup>ab</sup>	<0.0001	1.24	1.57 <sup>e</sup>	1.91 <sup>de</sup>	2.32 <sup>c</sup>	2.52 <sup>b</sup>	<0.0001	1.24

Means in a row bearing the same superscript are not significantly different at P>0.05.

SE. = Standard error of means

**Table 4. Identification of bacteria in sheep's milk heated by gas, charcoal and microwave**

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Isolate</b>	<b>Gram Staining</b>	<b>Shape</b>	<b>Endospore staining</b>	<b>Motility test</b>	<b>Catalase test</b>	<b>Oxidase test</b>	<b>O/F test</b>	<b>Genus</b>
Raw	1	+	Sphere	-	-	+	-	F	Staphylococcus
<b>Day 1</b>									
Gas	1	+	Sphere	-	+	+	-	F	Staphylococcus
Charcoal	1	+	Sphere	-	+	+	-	F	Staphylococcus
Microwave	1	+	Sphere	-	+	+	-	F	Staphylococcus
<b>Day 3</b>									
Gas	1	+	Rod	+	-	+	+	OF	Bacillus
Charcoal	1	+	Rod	+	+	+	+	F	Bacillus
Microwave	1	+	Rod	+	+	+	+	F	Bacillus
<b>Day 7</b>									
Gas	1	+	Sphere	-	+	-	+	F	Staphylococcus
Charcoal	1	+	Rod	+	+	+	-	F	Bacillus
Microwave	1	+	Sphere	-	+	+	-	F	Bacillus
<b>Day 10</b>									
Gas	1	+	Sphere	-	+	-	-	F	Enterococcus
Charcoal	1	+	Sphere	-	+	-	-	F	Enterococcus
Microwave	1	+	Sphere	-	+	-	-	F	Enterococcus