



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_44192
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH, YIELD AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF CAULIFLOWER AS INFLUENCED BY MICRONUTRIENTS AND PLANT SPACING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is based on one-season field experiment.</p> <p>Title should be changed.</p> <p>Abstract is appropriate to the study.</p> <p>Sufficient background information is given in the introduction section with defined problem and objectives.</p> <p>Appropriate methods and procedures were followed, however needs some details.</p> <p>Results were nicely presented and interpreted.</p> <p>Discussion is scientific with supporting references.</p> <p>Conclusion is sound having good practical utility.</p> <p>References need revision according to guidelines of the journal.</p>	<p>Title has been changed as suggested</p> <p>Details description has been added in the materials and method section as per suggestion and highlighted yellow</p> <p>Reference has been revised according to the guidelines of the journal</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Indicated in the manuscript.	All the correction has been made in the revised version of the manuscript as indicated and highlighted yellow.
Optional/General comments	<p>Initial and post-harvest status of available nutrients should be given.</p> <p>Uptake of B and Mo should be added.</p> <p>Owing to one-season data, it should be accepted as short note.</p>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	