



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAERI_40143 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Pesticides use in pest management: A case study of Ewaso Narok wetland small scale vegetable Farmers, Laikipia County, Kenya. |
| Type of the Article | Case Study |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>The case study by the author describes useful information pertaining to pesticides practices and contamination status of Ewaso Narok Wetland ecosystem with the recommendation for strengthening of extension functionaries for adoption of GAP to manage key pest with pesticides along its harmful side effects on human being. Good efforts to highlight and describes various aspects using Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests to obtain significant relationship between various variables under question were made. The MS have been thoroughly written There are some minor mistakes of references which should be addressed and manuscript should be modified as indicated in the attached file while submitting the revised MS. Some references are missing in the reference section and some are missing in the text but have been incorporated additionally in the reference section. I therefore recommend that MS should be accepted after its thorough redressing. The consent form and questionnaire was nicely framed to fulfil all the objectives of the study.</p> <p>Ethical issue:</p> <p>Generally farming community is unaware of the health hazards and work on single line of action to protect the crop for enhancement of their income at the risk of their health. Very useful information pertaining to safety issue of farmers and will act as a guidelines for the Extension Functionaries for guiding the growers just by understanding their primary, secondary and tertiary education and skill level to work in farm.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | NII | |
| Optional/General comments | NIL | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | J K Dubey |
| Department, University & Country | Department of Entomology, Dr Y S P Univ.of Horticulture and Forestry, India |