

Original Research Article

Analyses of genotypic diversity and adaptability of cowpea to humid tropical ecology

Abstract

In Africa, cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) is more appreciated in the food habit than other legumes. It is a popular pulse cultivated mainly in the semi – arid region of West Africa, but its production cannot meet the demand, therefore, this study assessed yield potential and adaptability of cowpea to humid agro-ecology. Ninety two accessions Can it be appropriate for you say "genotypes" instead of "accessions"? This is a suggestion obtained from International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Nigeria were evaluated in Calabar (4⁰ 57'N, 8⁰ 19'E) in a randomised complete block design in three replications. All the accessions were collected in southern Nigeria between Latitude 05⁰05 and 08⁰30N, and Longitude 03⁰25 and 15⁰40E. Twenty-two of the 92 accessions flowered and had grain yield in the humid agro-ecology; TVu-1131, TVu-1132 and TVu-215 had grain yield between 1054.7 and 1093.9 Kg/ha; this yield is within range of the cowpea yield in West Africa. Principal component analysis (PCA) explained the contribution of 99.9 % of the morphological variation in the accessions and attributed most of it to the diversity in grain yield and single linkage cluster analysis partitioned the accessions into two groups based on their genetic relationship. There was positive correlation in the relationship between the characters studied and significant correlation between grain yield and number of days to flowering, number of flowers per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod and the pod length; thereby indicating that selection for these pods and seeds characters would invariably lead to improvement of the yield. For the adaptability, cowpea accessions that are high yielding, photoperiod insensitive and early maturing are most suitable, in this study TVu-1131, TVu-1132, TVu-215 have identified as prospects.

26 **Keywords:** *Vigna unguiculata*, humid ecology, crop adaptability, cowpea diversity,
27 multivariate analysis

28 **Introduction**

29 Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp.) is one of the few pulses commonly found in West
30 African cropping systems. It plays a major role in the farming systems of the tropics as an
31 invaluable source of plant protein, by contributing to the nutrient economy. It is a severely
32 neglected crop because it originated from Africa. it has many useful attributes that
33 encourages its consumption as whole bean or ground into paste to make *akara* or *moi-moi* and
34 other snacks. The valuable properties of the crop are early maturing; flowering within 48
35 days and reaching pod maturity by 60 days and it is highly digestible; it has high rates of
36 nitrogen (N) fixation, high phosphorus (P) use efficiency and can reproduce itself by seed
37 dispersal (Singh et al., 1997). The crop is also desired for forage or cover crop and can
38 improve soil structure with its deep roots as well as decrease erosion and weeds through its
39 rapid growth and soil coverage (Ola et al., 2015). Cowpea is very useful nutritionally; it has
40 high digestible protein, 15 to 26 %; carbohydrate 55 – 66 %; several minerals and vitamins
41 (Umoren, 1997), the leaves and green pods are also consumed as a leafy vegetable. Because
42 cowpea can fix atmospheric nitrogen in the soil, it is beneficial for soil fertility; excessive use
43 of chemical fertilizers leads to diminishing reserves of high quality raw material, such as,
44 humus and increases energy costs (Kaur et al. 2015), so N fixation of cowpea has the
45 advantage of remediating this effect. Cowpea is easily adapted into farming systems, such as
46 intercrop because it tolerates shades (de Albuquerque, et al., 2015).

47 Cowpea is a tropical crop, originating from the sub-Saharan Africa (Padulosi and Ng, 1997);
48 it is a warm-weather, tolerant to drought and well - adapted to the drier regions of the tropics,
49 where other food legumes do not perform well. the world annual production of cowpea is
50 approximately 2.5 million tonnes from about 12.5 million hectares (FAO, 1998). Since the
51 crop is a warm – season legume, African countries produce about two thirds of the world

UNDER PEER REVIEW

52 cowpea; Nigeria and Niger Republic are the world's major producers, jointly producing 49 %
53 of the 1.32 million tonnes produced from Africa. The most favourable conditions for the crop
54 production are rainfall between 2.8 and 41 dm, annual temperature from 12.5 to 27.8 °C,
55 average day and night temperature of 28 °C and 22 °C respectively, and soil pH range of 4.3
56 to 7.9 (Craufurd *et al.*, 1997).

57 Wekundah and Aghan (2003) observed that there is hunger in Africa arising from inadequate
58 food supply to meet the population's need; the sub-Saharan population rose from 200 million
59 in the 1970s to 520 million in 2000, while there was corresponding decline in food
60 production due to (1) conflicts in several regions that barred farmers from food production (2)
61 drought and other disasters that devastated plants and animals and (3) refocusing in economic
62 goals and policies, for example, in Nigeria there was interest shift from agrarian to petroleum
63 industry. The highest population density in Africa is located in the forest regions; about half
64 the people live on less than 40 % of the land (IITA, 1988). In Nigeria, more than 41.6 million
65 people (46.7 %) live in the forest region of southern Nigeria, compared to 16.1 million (18.2
66 %) in Guinea savannah and 31.2 million (35.1 %) in Sudan and Sahel savannah (NPC, 1998).
67 The solution to African food problem is to intensify cowpea production in all agro-ecologies
68 (Quin, 1997), by this means, more food, especially affordable protein would be produced to
69 meet the demand for food.

70 Cowpea can be adapted into the cropping systems in the humid tropical agro-ecology;
71 Lambot (2002) stated that cowpea is more popular and appreciated than soybean and other
72 legumes in West African food habit; the crop is part of the diet of more than 100 million
73 people already. Expectedly, the excess atmospheric and rhizospheric moisture shall pose
74 challenges, such as, waterlogged soils, which has been reported to reduce seed yield in
75 cowpea by more than 50 % (Minchin *et al.*, 1978), infection from bacterial blight, pod rot

disease, and infestation from insects, such as aphids, pod borers and pod sucking bugs (Prakash and Shivashanker, 1982; Emechebe and Shoyinka, 1985; Singh and Jackai, 1985).

However, cowpea is a very resilient crop; Craufurd *et al.*, (1997) noted that specimens have been collected between latitude 40 °N and 30 °S of the Equator. The crop has the genetic variability, which should be exploited to develop exotic cultivars for the humid agro-ecology. Such project would increase the area under cowpea cultivation and boost production; remove haulage cost from the total cost of production, decrease incidence of infection in stored grains and make the grains readily available to people in the humid regions. The objectives of the study were to evaluate the diversity of cowpea, analyse the yield potential of the genotypes and assess the adaptability in humid tropical ecology. Genetic variability in a given plant population is essential to the success of its breeding programme.

Materials and methods

Study area

The experiment was conducted in the University of Calabar Research Farm, Calabar. Calabar (4° 57'N, 8° 19'E) is located in southeast of southern Nigeria; the vegetation is tropical rain forest type, with annual rainfall of about 2915 mm; Calabar has rains all year round. The average monthly temperature is about 26.5 °C with a minimum of 24 °C in the mid – year, daily temperature ranges from 23 to 31.7 °C. Amalu (1998) described the soils around Calabar as acidic (pH 4.6 – 5.0), low in organic matter, with total nitrogen of 1.0 – 1.9 g per Kg, available phosphorus of 5.8 – 11.9 mg per Kg, exchangeable calcium of 0.4 – 2.8 cmol per Kg and exchangeable sodium of 0.2 – 0.4 cmol per Kg.

Experimentation

Nine – two cowpea accessions (Table 1) were collected from the Genetic Resources Unit (GRU) of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria. The field

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Formatted: English (United States)

was laid out in a randomized complete block design in three replications; the accessions were randomly allocated to the plots. Planting was carried out in the second week of October, the intra-row spacing was 0.5 m. This distance is too much. So planting is very rare, is not it?, inter-row spacing was 1.0 m and the inter-block spacing was 1.5 m, two seeds were planted per hole in three row plots, 5 m long; the mid – single rows were sampled. The number of seedlings per stand was later thinned leaving 10 plants per plot for sampling. Data were taken on the seedling emergence at seven days after planting (DAP), seedling establishment. What do you mean here, yuo should more clear say at 21 DAP, number of days to 50 % flowering per plot, number of seeds per pod, pod length at first harvest, weight of 100 seeds, grain yield per plot and yield per hectare.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the morphological characteristics was computed with the GenStat 8.1 package (GenStat, 2005), significant differences between means were compared by using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DNMRT). The multivariate analyses were computed with Past 3 package (Hammer *et al.*, 2001); principal components with eigen values greater than one were selected for analysis (Jeffers, 1967)

Results and discussion

All the 92 accessions used in this study were collected by IITA in southern Nigeria, between Latitude 05°5 – 08°30 N and Longitude 03°25 - 15°40 E or some location in southern Nigeria (Table 1). This was the first level screening for adaptability to the humid tropical agro-ecology, IITA holds more than 16000 accessions in its germplasm bank (Quin, 1997). The second level screening was based on flowering (Table 2) and yield characteristics (Table 3). Twenty two (22) accessions flowered indicating that 70 accessions tested would not have grain yield in the humid environment. Some varieties of cowpea are photo-sensitive, this is a very important physiological trait (Umar *et al.* 2010); the time of flowering of photo-sensitive varieties is dependent on time and location of sowing and may be as long as 100 days or

124 more, 100 days period of time is too long if cowpea is to be cultivated in humid environment,
125 due to the all year round rainfall.

126 TVu-1131, TVu-1132 and TVu-215 were the highest yielding among the accessions,
127 producing 1054.7 to 1093.9 **Kkg**/ha, the lowest grain yield per hectare was 544.1 **Kkg**/ha
128 produced by TVu-1358. Porbeni *et al.* (2016) obtained 590 to 851 **Kkg**/ha and Oladejo *et al.*
129 (2016) obtained 356.8 to 1068.2 **Kgkg**/ha in western Nigeria, therefore, the yield obtained in
130 this study is comparable to the range in western Nigeria.

131 The principal component (PC) matrix describing the similarity among the genotypes is
132 presented in Table 4. PC analysis is a useful tool for partitioning of variation among
133 germplasm; six of the principal components had eigen values greater than 1.0. The first
134 principal component (PC 1) explained 99.9 % of the morphological variation in the
135 accessions and was loaded on the grain yield. The PC 2 was loaded on the number of days to
136 flowering, numbers of flowers per plant, number of pods per plant and pod length; PC 3 was
137 loaded on two variables; the number of days to seedling emergence and the number of days to
138 seedling establishment What do you mean here, yuo should more clear say. A more
discriminative structure was observed in the scatter diagram
139 (Figure 1) with 95 % ellipses capturing 13 accessions showing similarity on the right axis of
140 component 1.

141 Numerical taxonomy assumes that similarity within species is a measure of their genetic
142 relationship. Thus, the association within the accessions was established by the single linkage
143 cluster analysis (SLCA) presented in Figure 2. Dendrogram was used to detect significant
144 differences between lines and the magnitude of deviation among the genotypes. The diversity
145 in the accessions was partitioned into two major groups, with genetic distance divergence
146 from 0.0 to 320 levels. At minimum of 0.0 level of similarity, all genotypes were distinct but

UNDER PEER REVIEW

147 at the maximum level, all the accessions were in a single cluster, which pointed that each
148 accession had at least one neighbour.

149 The correlation matrix showing the relationship between morphological traits is presented in
150 Table 5. All the eight traits considered in this study had positive relationship with each other.
151 There was significant and positive correlation between the number of seedling at emergence
152 and the number of seedlings at establishment. The number of days to flowering had positive
153 and significant association with grain yield and the number of flowers per plant had highly
154 significant and positive relationship with the number of pods per plant. Early flowering and
155 maturity are very important attributes in legumes and other short duration crops in the humid
156 agro-ecology because the plant can utilise the diminutive dry season to complete its growth
157 cycle. The number of pods per plant has highly significant relationship with the number of
158 seeds per pod and with the grain yield and the number of pods per plant also had significant
159 relationship with the pod length. The pod length had significant association with the grain
160 yield. Ittah *et al.* (2016) reported a positive and significant relationship found between grain
161 yield and number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant and number of days to
162 flowering in groundnut. Significant and positive correlation between characters indicates that
163 the characters can be improved simultaneously in a breeding programme (Idahosa *et al.*,
164 2010). Non significance in the association between some traits may imply lesser contribution
165 to their mutual development or might be due to genetic constitution differences in the
166 breeding materials evaluated (Maji and Shaibu, 2012). Therefore, cowpea accessions that are
167 high yielding, early maturing, photoperiod insensitive and have secondary attributes like long
168 pods and are multi-seeded are adaptable to the humid agro-ecology. TVu-16196, TVu-1131,
169 TVu-1132 and TVu-215 are recommended for cultivation in the humid tropical ecology.

170

171 **Table 1. Place of collection of the cowpea accessions by IITA**

sn	Accession	Place of collection	Latitude	Long	sn	Accession	Place of collection	Latitude	Long
1.	TVu-10859	Ex Ogbomoso	0810N	0415E	47.	TVu-7821	Ewa Ex Oye Ekiti	0745N	0520E
2.	TVu-10864	Ex Warri	0550N	1540E	48.	TVu-7823	Ewa Ex Ijan Ekiti	0745N	0525E
3.	TVu-10983	Ex Alagbado	0820N	0440E	49.	TVu-7833	Ewa Ex Emure	0710N	0530E
4.	TVu-1130	Ibadan 14			50.	TVu-7834	Ewa Ex Oke Igbo Mkt	0710N	0445E
5.	TVu-1131	Ibadan 27			51.	TVu-7852	Ewa Ex Ago Are	0830N	0325E
6.	TVu-1132	Ibadan 36			52.	TVu-7853	Ewa Ex Ogbomosho	0810N	0414E
7.	TVu-1260	Ibadan 3(-A)			53.	TVu-7855	Ewa Ex Ipapo Settlement	0810N	0330E
8.	TVu-13402	Ex Owo	0715N	0535E	54.	TVu-7857	Ewa Ex Ago Are	0830N	0325E
9.	TVu-1358	Erect multipod			55.	TVu-7858	Ewa 2 Km Ago Are To Iseyin	0830N	0325E
10.	TVu-1515	Local speckle			56.	TVu-7871	Ex Owo	0720N	0550E
11.	TVu-1516	Local white			57.	TVu-7878	Ex Sango	0750N	0650E
12.	TVu-1517	Local white			58.	TVu-7887	Ex Akpayan	0800N	0700E
13.	TVu-15676	IT86D-1010			59.	TVu-7889	Ex Karara Egbe	0800N	0640E
14.	TVu-15687	IT86D-719			60.	TVu-7891	Ex Felele	0800N	0645E
15.	TVu-16196	IT86D-880			61.	TVu-7906	Ex Kabishanni	0810N	0710E
16.	TVu-212	Ibasmooth			62.	TVu-7915	Ex Sekona, Oyo State	0800N	0420E
17.	TVu-213	Ibatan			63.	TVu-7916	Ex Sekona, Oyo State	0800N	0420E
18.	TVu-214	Ibapink			64.	TVu-7917	Ex Sekona, Oyo State	0800N	0420E
19.	TVu-215	Ibabrown			65.	TVu-8084	Ewa Ex Olodo	0720N	0335E
20.	TVu-4041	Kr309	0657N	0616E	66.	TVu-8177	Ewa Ex Olodo		
21.	TVu-4062	Kr323	0523N	0707E	67.	TVu-8178	Ewa 2 Km From Uaro		
22.	TVu-4063	Kr323	0523N	0707E	68.	TVu-8180	Ewa Ex Ado Odo		
23.	TVu-4064	Kr324	0523N	0707E	69.	TVu-8571	Ewa Alagbado	0820N	0440E
24.	TVu-4065	Kr324	0523N	0707E	70.	TVu-8581	Ex Owode	0820N	0414E
25.	TVu-4066	Kr324	0523N	0707E	71.	TVu-8582	Ex Od Oba	0810N	0405E
26.	TVu-4067	Kr328	0505N	0650E	72.	TVu-8585	Ex Ogidi	0750N	0650E
27.	TVu-4068	Kr328	0505N	0650E	73.	TVu-8586	Ex Sango	0750N	0650E
28.	TVu-4069	Kr328	0505N	0650E	74.	TVu-9146	Ex Awe	0745N	0358E
29.	TVu-4070	Kr328	0505N	0650E	75.	TVu-9147	Oyo No1	0750N	0355E
30.	TVu-4071	Kr328	0505N	0650E	76.	TVu-9154	Ex Ogbomosho	0810N	0415E
31.	TVu-4073	Kr335	0510N	0743E	77.	TVu-9155	Ogbomosho No 2	0810N	0415E
32.	TVu-4074	Kr335	0510N	0743E	78.	TVu-9156	Ex Ede	0745N	0425E
33.	TVu-4075	Kr335	0510N	0743E	79.	TVu-9174	25 Km S. Ogbomosho	0750N	0425E
34.	TVu-4076	Kr336	0510N	0743E	80.	TVu-9175	25 Km S. Ogbomosho	0750N	0425E
35.	TVu-4078	Ke341	0506N	0721E	81.	TVu-9176	25 Km S. Ogbomosho	0750N	0425E
36.	TVu-4085	Ke367	0629N	0912E	82.	TVu-9190	10 Km N. Ado	0750N	0330E
37.	TVu-4468	Ex Enugu			83.	TVu-9191	Ex Ifefedo	0710N	0440E
38.	TVu-527	Ibadan1			84.	TVu-9192	35 Km E. Ife to Ondo	0710N	0440E
39.	TVu-530	Ibadan2			85.	TVu-9201	Atsegba No 1	0740N	0525E
40.	TVu-640	Ibadan3			86.	TVu-9202	Atsegba No 2	0740N	0525E
41.	TVu-7264	Ex Ibadan Mkt			87.	TVu-9203	Atsegba No 3	0740N	0525E
42.	TVu-7265	Ex Ibadan Mkt			88.	TVu-9273	28 Km W. Omuo to Ikole	0740N	0530E
43.	TVu-7266	Ex Ibadan Mkt			89.	TVu-9294	Ex Ondo	0705N	0450E
44.	TVu-15546	IAR 48			90.	TVu-9332	Ado Ekiti No 1	0740N	0515E
45.	TVu-7810	Ewa Ex Afami			91.	TVu-9333	Ado Ekiti No 2	0740N	0515E
46.	TVu-7818	Ewa Ex Abeokuta Mkt			92.	TVu-9334	Ado Ekiti No 3	0740N	0515E

173 Table 2. Seedling and flowering characteristics of cowpea accessions in humid ecology

sn	Accession	No Seedl Emerg	No Seedl Establ	No Days to flowering	Numb of flowers	sn	Accession	No Seedl Emerg	No Seedl Establ	No Days to flowering	Numb of flowers
1.	TVu-10859	9.4 ^{ab}	9.8 ^a	No flower	0 ^d	47.	TVu-7821	6.8 ^c	6.5 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
2.	TVu-10864	10 ^a	10 ^a	No flower	0 ^d	48.	TVu-7823	9.1 ^{ab}	8.7 ^{bc}	40 ^a	16 ^b
3.	TVu-10983	10 ^a	10 ^a	42 ^a	24 ^a	49.	TVu-7833	5.6 ^{de}	5.2 ^{def}	40 ^a	16 ^b
4.	TVu-1130	6.9 ^c	6.2 ^d	44 ^a	26 ^a	50.	TVu-7834	5.4 ^{de}	5.2 ^{def}	No flower	0 ^d
5.	TVu-1131	9.4 ^{ab}	9.6 ^{bc}	40 ^a	18 ^b	51.	TVu-7852	3.8 ^f	2.6 ^g	No flower	0 ^d
6.	TVu-1132	8.8 ^b	7.5 ^c	43 ^a	22 ^a	52.	TVu-7853	7.5 ^{bc}	6.6 ^{cd}	40 ^a	21 ^{ab}
7.	TVu-1260	7.5 ^{bc}	7.4 ^c	No flower	0 ^d	53.	TVu-7855	8.8 ^b	8.1 ^{bc}	No flower	0 ^d
8.	TVu-13402	6.0 ^{cd}	6.0 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d	54.	TVu-7857	5.0 ^{def}	3.4 ^f	42 ^a	23 ^a
9.	TVu-1358	9.4 ^{ab}	9.0 ^{bc}	42 ^a	16 ^b	55.	TVu-7858	6.4 ^{cd}	5.6 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d
10.	TVu-1515	5.6 ^{de}	5.6 ^{de}	41 ^a	24 ^a	56.	TVu-7871	9.4 ^{ab}	8.8 ^{bc}	No flower	0 ^d
11.	TVu-1516	8.1 ^b	7.5 ^c	38 ^a	18 ^b	57.	TVu-7878	5.7 ^{cd}	5.0 ^{def}	44 ^a	20 ^{ab}
12.	TVu-1517	6.5 ^{cd}	6.5 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d	58.	TVu-7887	8.0 ^b	6.4 ^{cd}	42 ^a	15 ^b
13.	TVu-15676	7.5 ^{bc}	7.5 ^c	No flower	0 ^d	59.	TVu-7889	5.4 ^{de}	4.5 ^{ef}	No flower	0 ^d
14.	TVu-15687	5.6 ^{de}	5.6 ^{de}	40 ^a	14 ^b	60.	TVu-7891	10 ^a	10 ^a	No flower	0 ^d
15.	TVu-16196	10 ^a	9.6 ^{ab}	44 ^a	17 ^b	61.	TVu-7906	6.6 ^{cd}	5.6 ^{de}	40 ^a	16 ^b
16.	TVu-212	8.1 ^b	7.8 ^{bc}	No flower	0 ^d	62.	TVu-7915	8.1 ^b	7.4 ^c	38 ^a	15 ^b
17.	TVu-213	7.0 ^c	7.0 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d	63.	TVu-7916	8.0 ^b	7.3 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
18.	TVu-214	8.8 ^b	8.0 ^{bc}	No flower	0 ^d	64.	TVu-7917	6.9 ^c	6.1 ^d	No flower	0 ^d
19.	TVu-215	10 ^a	10 ^a	38 ^a	18 ^b	65.	TVu-8084	7.5 ^{bc}	6.4 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
20.	TVu-4041	8.8 ^b	8.6 ^{bc}	No flower	0 ^d	66.	TVu-8177	4.6 ^{ef}	3.5 ^f	No flower	0 ^d
21.	TVu-4062	10 ^a	9.5 ^{ab}	No flower	0 ^d	67.	TVu-8178	8.1 ^b	8.0 ^{bc}	40 ^a	14 ^b
22.	TVu-4063	6.9 ^c	6.2 ^d	No flower	0 ^d	68.	TVu-8180	6.3 ^{cd}	5.4 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d
23.	TVu-4064	7.5 ^{bc}	6.5 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d	69.	TVu-8571	5.5 ^{de}	4.8 ^{ef}	No flower	0 ^d
24.	TVu-4065	8.1 ^b	7.5 ^c	38 ^a	22 ^a	70.	TVu-8581	7.5 ^{bc}	7.3 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
25.	TVu-4066	6.5 ^{cd}	5.0 ^{def}	No flower	0 ^d	71.	TVu-8582	6.4 ^{cd}	6.2 ^d	No flower	0 ^d
26.	TVu-4067	8.0 ^b	7.6 ^c	No flower	0 ^d	72.	TVu-8585	8.8 ^b	6.7 ^{cd}	42 ^a	6 ^c
27.	TVu-4068	10 ^a	9.3 ^{ab}	No flower	0 ^d	73.	TVu-8586	7.0 ^c	6.6 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
28.	TVu-4069	1.3 ^g	0.9 ^h	No flower	0 ^d	74.	TVu-9146	8.3 ^b	6.9 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
29.	TVu-4070	5.1 ^{de}	4.6 ^{ef}	No flower	0 ^d	75.	TVu-9147	5.3 ^{de}	4.2 ^{ef}	No flower	0 ^d
30.	TVu-4071	6.0 ^{cd}	5.2 ^{def}	No flower	0 ^d	76.	TVu-9154	6.9 ^c	6.6 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
31.	TVu-4073	4.4 ^{ef}	3.7 ^f	No flower	0 ^d	77.	TVu-9155	10 ^a	9.4 ^{ab}	No flower	0 ^d
32.	TVu-4074	9.6 ^a	9.1 ^{ab}	No flower	0 ^d	78.	TVu-9156	5.7 ^{cd}	4.9 ^{def}	No flower	0 ^d
33.	TVu-4075	5.2 ^{de}	4.6 ^{ef}	No flower	0 ^d	79.	TVu-9174	8.0 ^b	6.7 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
34.	TVu-4076	3.1 ^f	2.1 ^g	No flower	0 ^d	80.	TVu-9175	6.4 ^{cd}	5.8 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d
35.	TVu-4078	4.4 ^{ef}	3.8 ^f	No flower	0 ^d	81.	TVu-9176	6.5 ^{cd}	6.6 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d
36.	TVu-4085	7.5 ^{bc}	6.8 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d	82.	TVu-9190	6.3 ^{cd}	5.4 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d
37.	TVu-4468	6.8 ^c	5.6 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d	83.	TVu-9191	9.1 ^{ab}	8.5 ^{bc}	No flower	0 ^d
38.	TVu-527	4.4 ^{ef}	4.3 ^{ef}	No flower	0 ^d	84.	TVu-9192	10 ^a	8.8 ^{bc}	No flower	0 ^d
39.	TVu-530	5.0 ^{def}	4.6 ^{ef}	No flower	0 ^d	85.	TVu-9201	9.8 ^a	9.2 ^{ab}	No flower	0 ^d
40.	TVu-640	7.4 ^{bc}	7.2 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d	86.	TVu-9202	9.3 ^{ab}	8.7 ^{bc}	40 ^a	6 ^c
41.	TVu-7264	5.2 ^{de}	5.0 ^{def}	No flower	0 ^d	87.	TVu-9203	6.0 ^{cd}	5.6 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d
42.	TVu-7265	6.4 ^{cd}	5.7 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d	88.	TVu-9273	7.0 ^c	5.4 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d
43.	TVu-7266	7.5 ^{bc}	7.6 ^c	No flower	0 ^d	89.	TVu-9294	6.2 ^{cd}	5.3 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d
44.	TVu-75546	5.7 ^{cd}	5.1 ^{def}	No flower	0 ^d	90.	TVu-9332	8.5 ^b	8.1 ^{bc}	No flower	0 ^d
45.	TVu-7810	4.4 ^{ef}	3.4 ^f	No flower	0 ^d	91.	TVu-9333	6.5 ^{cd}	6.0 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d
46.	TVu-7818	6.9 ^c	6.0 ^{de}	No flower	0 ^d	92.	TVu-9334	6.9 ^c	6.5 ^{cd}	No flower	0 ^d

174 **Key:** ^a Means with the same letter under the same heading are not significantly different at 5 % probability level
 175 of DNMR; No Seedl Emerg = Number of seedlings at emergence; No Seedl Establ = Number of seedlings at
 176 establishment

177

178 **Table 3. Yield characteristics of the cowpea accessions that flowered in humid tropical ecology**

Sn	Accession	Pods per plant	Seeds per pod	Pod length (cm)	Grain Yield (Kg/ha)
3	TVu-10983	19.4 ^b	10.8 ^c	16.0 ^{cd}	726.1 ^{cd}
4	TVu-1130	24.3 ^a	15.5 ^a	18.3 ^b	984.9 ^{ab}
5	TVu-1131	21.0 ^{ab}	10.5 ^{cd}	12.8 ^{ef}	1088.0 ^a
6	TVu-1132	18.6 ^{bc}	14.3 ^{ab}	16.5 ^{cd}	1093.9 ^a
9	TVu-1358	21.3 ^{ab}	10.9 ^c	16.1 ^{cd}	544.1 ^e
10	TVu-1515	9.6 ^g	13.1 ^{ab}	11.8 ^f	620.3 ^{de}
11	TVu-1516	14.7 ^d	9.2 ^{cde}	12.5 ^{ef}	857.6 ^b
14	TVu-15687	16.4 ^{bc}	10.2 ^{cd}	18.7 ^b	725.9 ^{cd}
15	TVu-16196	12.4 ^{ef}	13.5 ^{ab}	18.1 ^{bc}	892.4 ^b
19	TVu-215	16.3 ^{bc}	11.6 ^{bc}	13.4 ^{def}	1054.7 ^{ab}
24	TVu-4065	15.4 ^{cd}	8.6 ^{de}	16.4 ^{cd}	765.3 ^c
48	TVu-7823	16.0 ^{cd}	8.4 ^{de}	21.0 ^{ab}	581.6 ^e
49	TVu-7833	19.5 ^{ab}	7.5 ^e	17.4 ^{bc}	744.1 ^c
52	TVu-7853	16.8 ^{bc}	12.4 ^{bc}	17.7 ^{bc}	831.6 ^{bc}
54	TVu-7857	18.9 ^{bc}	13.0 ^{abc}	19.1 ^{ab}	656.8 ^{de}
57	TVu-7878	19.5 ^{ab}	8.4 ^{de}	12.1 ^{ef}	741.3 ^c
58	TVu-7887	10.1 ^{fg}	6.7 ^e	10.5 ^f	633.4 ^{de}
61	TVu-7906	13.9 ^{de}	10.2 ^{cd}	14.2 ^{de}	765.2 ^c
62	TVu-7915	6.9 ^h	8.1 ^{de}	12.7 ^{ef}	853.4 ^b
67	TVu-8178	19.4 ^b	10.4 ^{cd}	14.1 ^{de}	815.6 ^{bc}
72	TVu-8585	14.7 ^d	9.8 ^{cd}	13.2 ^{def}	713.4 ^{cd}
86	TVu-9202	8.6 ^{gh}	9.2 ^{cde}	14.8 ^{de}	682.4 ^d

179 **Key:** ^a Means with the same letter under the same heading are not significantly different at 5 % probability
180 level of DNMR

181 **Table 4. Eigen values of the principal components (PC) matrix for morphological characteristics of**
182 **cowpea accessions in humid agro-ecology**

PC	No Seedl Emerg	No Seedl Establ	Days to flowering	Num of flowers	Pods per plant	Seeds per pod	Pod length (cm)	Grain Yield (Kg/ha)	Eigen value	% variance
1.	0.0013	0.0012	0.0491	0.0216	0.0197	0.0130	0.0184	0.9981	120833	99.967
Wha	-0.0552	-0.0619	0.7811	0.3514	0.3186	0.1579	0.3597	-0.0609	22.9212	0.0189
3.	0.6350	0.6681	0.2327	-0.2901	-0.0811	-0.0212	0.0707	-0.0063	6.66171	0.0055
4.	0.2343	0.2935	-0.4438	0.7150	0.3752	0.0968	-0.0235	-0.0025	5.16832	0.0043
5.	-0.0332	-0.0438	-0.1870	-0.4983	0.8177	-0.0859	0.1939	0.0015	3.00653	0.0025
6.	-0.0206	-0.0076	-0.3178	-0.047	-0.2803	0.2636	0.8650	0.0029	1.37261	0.0011
7.	0.0223	-0.0252	-0.0093	-0.1705	0.0590	0.9421	-0.2805	-0.0041	0.612805	0.0005
8.	0.7326	-0.6790	-0.0181	0.0306	0.0016	-0.0258	0.0149	0.0001	0.088783	0.00007
99.99987										

183

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: single, Allow hanging punctuation

184 **Table 5. Pearson correlation matrix of morphological traits of cowpea in humid agro-**
185 **ecology**

	No Seedl Establ	Days to flowering	Num of flowers	Pods per plant	Seeds per pod	Pod length (cm)	Grain Yield (Kg/ha)
No Seedl Emerg	0.973 [*]	0.230	0.186	0.210	0.226	0.219	0.251
No Seedl Establ		0.192	0.165	0.176	0.188	0.180	0.213
No Days to flowering			0.953	0.908	0.932	0.921	0.921 [*]
Num of flowers				0.881 ^{**}	0.905 [*]	0.869	0.877 [*]
Pods per plant					0.952 ^{**}	0.959 [*]	0.948 ^{**}
Seeds per pod						0.968 [*]	0.970 ^{**}
Pod length (cm)							0.952 [*]

186 **Key:** ^{*} and ^{**} = significant at 5 % and 1 % level of probability respectively; No seedl Emerg =
187 number of seedlings at emergence; No seedl Establ = number of seedlings at establishment;
188 num of flowers = number of flowers per plant

189



192

193



195

196

197 **References**

- 198 Amalu, U. C. (1998) Evaluation of properties of selected soils of Cross River area and their
199 management for increased cassava yields. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied*
200 *Sciences* 4: 243 – 249
- 201 Craufurd, P. Q., Summerfield, R. J., Ellis, R. H. And Roberts, E. H. (1997) Photoperiod,
202 temperature on the growth and development of cowpea, pp 75 – 86. *In* Advances in
203 cowpea research, by B. B. Singh, D. R. Mohan Raj, K. E. Dashiell and L. E. N. Jackai
204 (eds). International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Nigeria and Japan
205 International Research Centre for Agricultural Sciences, Japan.
- 206 de Albuquerque, J. d'A., de Campos Oliva, L. S., Alves, J. M. A., Uchôa, S. C. P. and de
207 Melo, D. A. (2015) Cultivation of cassava and cowpea in intercropping systems held
208 in Roraima's savannah, Brazil. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*, 46: 388 – 395
- 209 Emechebe, A. M. and Shoyinka, S. A. (1985) Fungal and bacterial diseases of cowpea in
210 Africa pp 173 – 192. *In* Cowpea Research, Production and Utilization. S. R. Singh
211 and K. O. Rachie (eds) John Wiley and Sons UK.
- 212 FAO (1998) Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) statistics of food and agriculture, 53
213 pp.
- 214 GenStat (2005) GenStat 8.1 for PC per Windows Copyright 2005, Lawes Agricultural Trust,
215 (Rothamsted Experimental Station) Registered to: TEAM TBE 2005-08-13
- 216 Hammer, O., Harper, D.A. T. and Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: Paleontological Statistic
217 software package for education and data analysis. *Paleontologia Electronica* 4(1): 9
218 pp.
- 219 Idahosa, D.O, Alika J. E and Omoregie A. U. (2010) Genetic variability, heritability and
220 expected genetic advance as indices for yield and yield components selection in
221 cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp). www.sceincepub.net/academia

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 222 IITA (1988) IITA strategic plan 1989 – 2000. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture
223 (IITA) Production, Ibadan, Nigeria. 108 pp.
- 224 Ittah, M. A., Binang, W. B., Shiyam, J O. and Idu, P O. (2016) Genetic and correlation
225 analyses of the variation in yield traits in x-ray irradiated groundnut mutants. *SCIREA*
226 *Journal of Agriculture* 1: 64 – 77
- 227 Jeffers, J. N. R. (1967). Two case studies in the application of principal component analysis.
228 *Applied Statistics*, 16: 225-236.
- 229 Kaur, H.; Gangwar, M. and Kalia, A. (2015) Diversity of actinomycetes from fodder
230 leguminous plants and their biocontrol potential. *International Journal of Advanced*
231 *Research* 3: 1141 – 1151
- 232 Lambot, C. (2002) Industrial potential of cowpea, pp 367. In Challenges and opportunities for
233 enhancing sustainable cowpea production. C. A. Fatokun, S. A. Tarawali, B. B. Singh,
234 P. M. Kormawa and M. Tamo. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria
- 235 Maji, A. T. and Shaibu, A. A. (2012) Application of principal component analysis for rice
236 germplasm characterization and evaluation. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop*
237 *Science*. 4: 87-93
- 238 Minchin, F. R., Engelsham, A. R. J. And Steward, K. A. (1978) Effects of short term water
239 logging on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) . *Journal of Agricultural*
240 *Science* 90: 335 – 336.
- 241 NPC (1998) 1991 population census of the Federal Republic of Nigeria: analytical report at
242 the national Level. National Population Commission (NPC), Nigeria 455pp.
- 243 Ola, A.; Dodd, I. C. and Quinton, J. N. (2015) Can we manipulate root system architecture to
244 control soil erosion? *Soil* 1: 603 – 612

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 245 Oladejo, A. S., Toyinbo, J. O. and Obisesan, I. O. (2016) Principal components as measures
246 of morphological and physiological descriptors of yield in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*
247 L. Walp). *Nig. J. Genetics* 31: 65 – 73
- 248 Padulosi, S and Ng, N. Q. (1997) Origin, taxonomy and morphology of *Vigna unguilata* (L)
249 Walp. In *Advances in cowpea research* by B. B. Singh, D. R. Mohan Raj, K. E.
250 Dashiell and L. E. N. Jackai (eds). International Institute of Tropical Agriculture
251 (IITA), Nigeria and Japan International Research Centre for Agricultural Sciences,
252 Japan, pp 1 – 2
- 253 Porbeni, J. B. O., Olaolorun, B. M., Sansa, O. and Idehen, E. O. (2016) Digital imaging of
254 pollen characteristics and estimating yield related components of some mutant
255 cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp) lines. *Nig. J. Genetics* 30: 52 – 59
- 256 Prakash, C. S. and Shivashanker, G. (1982) Evaluation of cowpea genotypes for resistance to
257 bacterial blight. *Tropical Pest Management* 28: 131 – 135
- 258 Quin, F. M. (1997) Introduction pp ix – xv. In *Advances in Cowpea Research*. B. B. Singh,
259 D. R. Mohan Raj, K. E. Dashiell and L. E. N. Jackai (eds). International Institute of
260 Tropical Agriculture and Japan International Research Centre for Agricultural
261 Sciences, Ibadan.
- 262 Singh, B. B., Chambliss, O. L., and Sharma, B. (1997). Recent advances in cowpea breeding,
263 30 - 49. In *Advances in cowpea research*, by B. B. Singh, D. R. Mohan Raj, K. E.
264 Dashiell and L. E. N. Jackai (eds). International Institute of Tropical Agriculture
265 (IITA), Nigeria and Japan International Research Centre for Agricultural Sciences,
266 Japan.
- 267 Singh, S. R. And Jackai, L. E. N. (1985) Insect pests of cowpeas in Africa: their life cycle,
268 economic importance and potential for control, pp 217 – 231. In *Cowpea Research*,

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 269 Production and Utilization. S. R. Singh and K. O. Rachie. John Wiley and Sons Ltd,
270 UK
- 271 Umar, M. L.; Sanusi, M. G. and Lawan, F. D. (2010) Relationships between some
272 quantitative characters in selected cowpea germplasm (*Vigna unguiculata* L. (Walp)
273 Muh. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae* 2: 125 – 128
- 274 Umoren, U. E. (1997) Proximate chemical and mineral composition of cowpea and *in vitro*
275 protein digestibility of some *Vigna* varieties. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied*
276 *Science* 3: 185 – 194
- 277 Wekundah, J. M. and Aghan, D. (2003) Biotechnology for food and Agriculture. Paper
278 presented at Sullivan Summit, Abuja, Nigeria.