



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_43903
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' knowledge and interest traits of hibiscus cannabinus grown in Burkina Faso.
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Need to redo many in-text references. Please follows the usual format. Introduction is not thorough and not clearly explain the important of the plant and the need of the study. In title figure 1... should put (n=115). To let the reader know the total number of the respondents. Title for Table 1, 2 should be at the bottom of the table. Title 2.3 is not clear. Suggest to add ethnic Mossi and Gurunsi Follow usual format for references list.	Important of the plant: • Its use of the different parts: as food, traditional medicine or fiber for handicraft'. • Its leaves are consumed like vegetables leaves. Its leaves are much consumed; contain a significant quantity of mineral salts (Ca, Mg, K, P, and Na), trace elements (Fe, Al, Mn, Zn etc.) and amino acids such as aspartic acid, glutamic acid. Need of study: Because some studies show, • The extinction of the specie • The extinction of the endogenous knowledge related to its usage.
Minor REVISION comments	Need to edit the grammar.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	