



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_42138
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE AND NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF SOME COMMON BEAN (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) AND COWPEA (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L. WALP.) ACCESSIONS OF JOS- PLATEAU, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Give the scientific name of the beans of 1-12 (twelve accessions of bean seeds) 2. Methodology not clear 3. Line no 21-leguminosae.- Leguminosae. 4. Line no 38-specie-species 5. Line no 67-air tight-airtight 6. Line no 95-were a highly-were highly 7. Line no 98-While, the lowest crude protein-While the lowest crude protein 8. Line no 105-While,-While 9. Line no 109-Least crude fibre fraction were-Least crude fibre fraction was 10. Line no 117-macro nutrient-macronutrient 11. Line no 119-least- the least 12. Lne no 123-have lowest value-has lowest value 13. Line no 144-while the other accession have-while the other accession has 14. Discussion part need to improve- discuss each raw material- discuss the nutritive value of each and give the benefit of each- then the paper will be a useful one – because it deals with the nutritive value of different beans. 15. Line no 169-which are essential-which is essential 16. Line no 169-provides very good-provides the very good 17. Line no 178-ncorporated in the diet-ncorporated in to the diet 18. Line no 180-give high amount of energy-give the high amount of energy 19. Line no 182-this macro 20. Check the reference format	1. The scientific names for the common bean and cowpea were given as <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> and <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> respectively. The authors have now listed the accessions that belong to each group. 2. The Methodology have been elaborated and explained further without making the article too lengthy. 3. All other corrections have been acknowledged, effected and highlighted in yellow.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Paper was good but need the improvement in discussion part. After that the paper will be accepted for publication	The discussion has been improved by linking the nutrints in the accessions to their benefits.