



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_41754
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and yield response of some rice genotype under different duration of complete submergence
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: Methodology is not written clearly. Punctuations are not put where they should be! Introduction: well Clear but Rreference are writing in different styles P2 L 62 T. <i>Aman</i> season is not clear Materials and methods Punctuations; spaces, are not written properly. In the Materials and methods section should be written, which parameters were measured Results and discussion Legends are missing for Figures and Tables Punctuations! English should be improved. General comments: Results The idea of the MS and results are interesting! However, discussion is almost missing!	1. I have been try to my best to clear methodology and punctuations in Abstract section of revised paper. 2. References style had been corrected in revised paper. 3. T. <i>Aman</i> means transplanting of <i>Aman</i> rice which is transplanted in <i>Aman</i> season (July to October) in Bangladesh. 4. Punctuations; spaces, are written properly in revised paper. 5. In the Materials and methods, parameters that were measured are written already in P2, L 79-81. 6. In Results and discussion Section, I have added legends for Figures and Tables in revised paper although I have clarify it in abstract section. 7. I added most important discussion in review paper. But I have added some more necessary discussion in revised paper.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		