



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_40143
Title of the Manuscript:	Pesticides use in pest management: A case study of Ewaso Narok wetland small scale vegetable Farmers, Laikipia County, Kenya.
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In general, the author should carry out a review of the references and the bibliography mentioned. Do not check all the mistakes, you should check the full text.</p> <p>The titles of the tables and figures should be written in full, explaining everything to which they refer.</p> <p>The author should, please, read the authors' instructions</p> <p>Some things I cannot understand, like Table 3.</p>	<p>I have reviewed references as advised by the reviewer</p> <p>The country in which the research was carried out is called Kenya and not Kenia as suggested by the reviewer.</p> <p>I have included acknowledgement part which was missing in my earlier paper. I have also shortened the abstract that looked rather long and wordy.</p> <p>I also chose to remove some tables like table 3 mentioned by the reviewer since I found it unnecessary in the document.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		