



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_38815
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Effects of Piper guineense Emulsion and Cabbage-Tomato Intercropping for Mitigating Cabbage Pest Infestation and Improving Performance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author should review the bibliographical references and the scientific and common pest names (they are marked in the text)	The manuscript has been read again and common and scientific names corrected where necessary. The name of authors of scientific names was abbreviated in the manuscript (e.g., Linnaeus was abbreviated as L.) as done in other research articles accepted and published in this journal. However, since the reviewer demanded for Author's name where the scientific name is mentioned for the first time we have included the full names as required and abbreviated subsequently.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article is well written. It is clear, both in the results and in the discussions.	We hereby acknowledge the reviewer for highlighting the clarity of the manuscript both in results and discussion.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		