



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_28264
Title of the Manuscript:	Floristic diversity, carbon storage and structure of Cocoa Agro-ecosystems in Southeastern Cameroon
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line no. 79-91 in fact whole manuscript need proper spacing between words. Line no.15: litter, herbs, roots and carbon unit should follow Mg ha^{-1} rather than $\text{Mg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Line no. 93 & 94: Author is talking about herbs, litter and trees and what about shrubs which is also a part of an ecosystem. Line no. 129: R^2 is coefficient of determination not correlation coefficient	Before I would like to thank the reviewer. - We deal with the space in the manuscript; - We used the unit proposed by the reviewer; - Our works are not yet concerning the shrubs in the ecosystems, we will deal with shrubs later; - Thank you once more, we took your proposition into account concerning R^2
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line no. 98: difference	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Author have not gone through all the important facts just completed the manuscript	