

Editor's Comment:

Please find below my comments:

1. Proof read for grammar
2. Why are there 2 equations/calculations for the determination of the same parameter such as ash content, % fat on a dry basis, among others? Stick to only one way of calculation only to avoid confusion
3. Are the methods used for the different determinations based on standard published methods? If yes, no need to go into lengthy descriptions of each methods; just mention that the method is based on a standard method. This will enhance readability of the paper.
4. For the discussion on proximate analysis, section 3.2, since a range of values is given for each measured parameter (table 1), it cannot be stated conclusively that a variety has for instance a higher moisture content than another variety. The ranges displayed for example:
 - a. % moisture for AW= 71.48- 80.08
 - b. % moisture for AO= 72.73-74.03

It is thus difficult to say where the absolute values lie. Results for all parameters investigated in this study should instead discuss the range of values obtained in a succinct way and point out their pertinence in mitigating malnutrition in poverty stricken areas of Ghana.

5. It could be considered to merge results and discussions to avoid repetition of data presentation in the text
6. Since a graphic is much more visual than a table, the authors are requested to convert tables under results section into histograms with SE bars.

Paper can be published once the above are cleared.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Aneeza Soobadar
Department of Agricultural Chemistry, Mauritius Sugarcane Industry Research Institute, Mauritius