



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_31649
Title of the Manuscript:	Dry Matter Accumulation, Nutrient Uptake and Nutrient Use Efficiency of Two Improved Cultivars of Taro (Colocasia Esculenta) Under Screen House Conditions in Samoa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Lines 21-25: Report the results of the experiment to enable the reader understand the inferences made. 2. No reference to already published work in the introduction 3. The discussion is poorly written. Discuss/interpret the findings of the work with reference to other people's work 4. No reference to Table 4 in the Results and Discussion section 5. Follow the referencing style of the journal.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Abstract should be one paragraph 2. Lines 34-37: Include reference 3. Line 57: 'Fertilizers', not 'fertilisers' 4. Line 69: Delete 'is' and replace with 'was' 5. Line 71: Delete 'for' and replace with 'of' 6. Line 95: Replace 'macro' with 'micro' 7. Line 100: 'varieties' or 'cultivars'? Be consistent. 8. Line 106: 'Randomized' not 'randomised' 9. Line 113: Is it variety or cultivar? 10. Line 116: should read 'cultivar and harvest periods' 11. Line 117: 'Randomized' not 'randomised' 12. Line 131: replace 'to' with 'and passed'; include the unit (1.0?) 13. Line 171: delete 'the' 14. Line 196: include reference 15. Line 205: should read 'statistical significant difference' 16. Line 244: Delete 'comma' and replace with 'full stop' 17. Line 253: Delete 'concluded' and replace with 'reported' 18. Lines 331-346: Should not be part of conclusion	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mary O. Iwuagwu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of plant Science and Biotechnology, Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria</i>