



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36000
Title of the Manuscript:	Strengthening Cocoa Production through Site specific Fertilizer Recommendation in Five local Government Areas of Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Necessary to correlate soils analysis to plants productivity if you need to conserve the title of your manuscript. What's happen after soil analysis? Did your recommendation increase plants productivity? You need to complete your data on planting material before accepting this publication and also demonstrate if how your research increase plants productivity on different LGAs.	We have correlated some soil nutrients with cocoa leaf nutrient compositions as such a table of the correlation has been added as table 4. However, the issue of correlating the soil nutrients with cocoa productivity in this present work is not possible now but could be done in our subsequent work. The correlation we have done has given us some clues on the effect of soil fertility on the performance of the cocoa. I appreciate the Reviewer for the suggestion that has improved the work.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		