



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_33927
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF AFRICAN GIANT LAND SNAIL (<i>Archachatina marginata</i>) FED ON DIET FROM DIFFERENT PROTEIN SOURCES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line18: Please stick to journal's guidelines regarding statistical significance. Apply this in the whole manuscript Lines 28-29: This last phrase seems quite obvious. You do not an experiment to arrive to such conclusion. The conclusion must be more in line with your objectives Lines 91-98: please indicate amounts and proportions for each Lines 130 and 132: include some text between tables. Avoid them to be together without text in between Line 215: Conclusions should be short and precise. Avoid repetitions of arguments given in the discussion Line 227: Please follow journal's guidelines regarding the structure of references	Ok
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lines 9–15: avoid duplication of numerals. See journal's guidelines on the subject Line 283. Reference is incomplete	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is fine but needs to follow journal's guidelines	