



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_31649
Title of the Manuscript:	Dry Matter Accumulation, Nutrient Uptake and Nutrient Use Efficiency of Two Improved Cultivars of Taro (Colocasia Esculenta) Under Screen House Conditions in Samoa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please follow the Journals format as given in the website. Follow through on font size, type, referencing. Did you really have to use the months as the sub-plots, in that essence it means you'll be comparing them which is not the case. Expand your results and discussion in text based on the analytical graphics given, they mean less without explanation. Kindly insert statistical test parameters on the numeric in the tables, otherwise they are plain numbers.	Journal format has been followed as per the template. Two way anova used Discussions enriched as a separate section. Statistical parameters have been inserted at appropriate places as suggested.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Followed as outlined in the text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		