SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAERI_30709**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Storage Behaviour of Microtuber of Potato Varieties in Relation to its Weight**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	I consider that the work has the merits to be published. However, some objections that need to be ratified or rectified by the author are presented. How the fresh and the decayed microtuber were determined? I think the procedure/criteria used must to be explained. The percentage of fresh and decayed microtuber, actually, is it not the same variable expressed differently?	
Minor REVISION comments	Correct the text Microtuber grades is the main factor~~s~~ affecting… Add the abbreviation in Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) Add to the text: , but without statistical differences,	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonio de la Casa
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina