



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_27355
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance and tibia characteristics of chickens fed cassava chips supplemented with DL-methionine
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although the title is relevant and innovative there is not enough literature in the introduction to justify the work. The methodology is scanty and does not bring out the real issues. Authors should have often mentioned HCN in cassava but there is nothing to support this (HCN was not analyzed). Authors have not discussed their findings in any way but simply reported findings that have no bearing with their work. The conclusion is simply assumptive.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Authors are advised to rewrite it taking the comments in the text into consideration.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of the South Pacific, Apia, Samoa