



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_25217
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOBASED FERTILIZERS - COMPARISON OF NUTRIENT CONTENT OF DIGESTATE/COMPOST
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper has good data and result analysis but still some more discussion needs to be done when comparing the poultry compost to commercial aste treatment plant compost on soil fertility management processes. The comments have been incorporated in the text .	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	These have been marked in the tet	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tunira Bhadauria</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Zoology, Kanpur University, Uttar Pradesh, India</i>