



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAERI_16741
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Kensil Fine, a diatomaceous earth, when applied to protect maize stored under simulated and real farmer situations in Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The manuscript should generally be justified (align text to both the left and right margins). 2. All et al should carry a dot after al (<i>et al.</i>) and be in <i>italics</i>. 3. Line 15 – Marshall and Golob 1996 should be corrected to Marshall and Golob, 1996. 4. Line 17 – Stathers 2002 should be corrected to Stathers, 2002. 5. All citations should be properly checked and put a comma between author and year. 6. Line 50 – Delete comma between al and (7. Line 81 – Kg should be corected to kg (lowercase k). 8. Conclusion should come before Recommendations. 9. Check the references carefully to comply by the Journal's guidelines.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Suleiman
Department, University & Country	Department of Biology, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, Katsina, Nigeria