



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_22607
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different methods of Zn application on rice growth, yield and nutrients dynamics in plant and soil
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The presented manuscript has the potential to be published, however the author need to do some major corrections before it can be accepted for publication. The discussion section is not well presented probably due to the statistical methods used to analyse the data. The manner in which the means were separated is rather suspecting. One can suggest program such as Duncan Multiple Range Test or Fischer's LSD. Discussion can be drastically improved if the author tries to link his results with the literature. Most of the results were not discussed in such a manner that one can understand their mechanisms.	Thank you for your valuable suggestions. Most of your valuable suggestions and comments were taken in consideration in the revised manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I suggest that the manuscript be sent back to the author for major corrections and responding to the queries as highlighted on the attached text	