



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_30709
Title of the Manuscript:	Storage Behaviour of Microtuber of Potato Varieties in Relation to its Weight
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I consider that the work has the merits to be published. However, some objections that need to be ratified or rectified by the author are presented. How the fresh and the decayed microtuber were determined? I think the procedure/criteria used must to be explained. The percentage of fresh and decayed microtuber, actually, is it not the same variable expressed differently?	It was measured by taking weight. The percentage of fresh and decayed microtuber is not the same variable, that's why it differentiated by color on the figure.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Correct the text Microtuber grades is the main factors affecting... Add the abbreviation in Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) Add to the text: , but without statistical differences,...	It is corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		