



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_29384
Title of the Manuscript:	Hybrid Rice: Bangladesh's Failure and China's Success
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The author has made good attempt to review the current situation of hybrid rice cultivation and reasons for decline in adoption of hybrid rice in Bangladesh. However, the manuscript needs major revision when it comes to the main content. Although author has mentioned several reasons for the decline in hybrid rice adoption, the reasons are presented in a disorganised manner. For more clarity, I would suggest author to be specific by rearranging the reasons under different subheadings such as: 4.1 Government policy 4.2 Organizational set up and capacity 4.3 Infrastructure 4.4..... Similarly, the reasons for china's success need to be organized under different subheadings. 2. Conclusion is very long. I suggest author to focus on the main review findings.	According the reviewer's suggestions, the author has rearranged two points i) Reasons for rejection of hybrid rice and ii) Chin's success in hybrid rice dissemination into several subheadings. The conclusion has shortened with focus points.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are several grammatical errors. Author needs to refine sentences for smooth reading.	The whole article was read again and again to find out the grammatical errors and resolved the errors.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		