



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_28369
Title of the Manuscript:	DIAGNOSIS IN PRODUCTION AND POST-HARVEST PROCESSING OF NUTS OF COLA NITIDA (MALVACEAE) IN COTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1] The introduction was silent on cultural practices (both pre and postharvest) in cola cultivation which may be resulting into the troubles being alleged in the concluding part of the introduction. 2] It will also be good to give a brief overview of players in the cola business (here you give the demographics of players i.e gender distribution, age, educational background etc). 3] How is the market chain in cola business like? What are the challenges faced by farmers in marketing their cola? 4] At figure 2, the names of the months and other parameters on the figure should be in English as the manuscript is in English. Try to the English version of the SPSS program.	1) OK!!! Thanks for the comment. This text part has been revised for improving the readability and comprehension. 2) According to the questionnaire conceived for the investigation scheme, only these parameters have been considered although it's not an exhaustive list. Other points should be accounted in further attempts. 3) For the market chain in Ivorian cola business, more information is given in the introduction of the revised manuscript. 4) OK!!! The caption has been checked in the revised manuscript. Thanks for this request.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1] All figures and tables must be always be sourced even if it is the authors own findings or estimation or assumptions, it should be indicated. 2] The sub headings (ie 2-1, 2-2, 2-3 etc) should be 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 to maintain uniformity and consistence. 3]Maintain consistence is the abbreviations of names in the list of references. Choose either to punctuate (	1) The captions are presented accordingly in the revised paper. 2) OK!!! Uniformization in punctuation has been performed now. 3) The references have been revised and are therefore fitted with consistent presentation.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	comma and dot) the abbreviations or not but do not mix.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1] There are some grammatical errors that needs to be corrected in the manuscript, and the author(s) are advised to seek the help of professional English editor to improve the language quality of the manuscript. 2] Caption for table I should be re-formatted. Font size is too big and bolded. Maintain consistency in the table caption. 3] Tables should be numbered using 1,2,3 not I,II,III etc.	1) The full manuscript has been checked for better writing quality. 2) The caption is reconsidered in appropriate size and form. Thanks for that!!! 3) OK!!! The numbering of tables is reformatted using appropriate tools.