

Author's feedback against Editorial Comments

You suggested the six problem about the previous manuscript as bellow. I answered them.

- 1) Remove the objectives, Results, Conclusions method heading from the abstract.

The objectives, Results, Conclusions method heading in abstract is the required style in JAERI.

- 2) The Abstract, does not seem appropriate for an international journal.

“and the history was well described in Japanese. Hence, cultivation and breeding of rice in Hokkaido islands can be a model case for the history in high latitudes” was added.

- 3) The Introduction and Discussion need additional few latest literatures.

In high latitudes including Hokkaido islands, there has been few literatures especially in heading time, and hence, I believe that all literatures that can be cited were used in the previous manuscript.

- 4) Results and discussions deal with on all of the above elaborations.

I cannot understand this sentence. If this sentence means that detailed description in Results and Discussion part was lack, I do not know what to do. Please concrete examples that you suggest.

- 5) In my opinion the length of paper they reduce to 20 % of original size that would be a better option.

I do not think so.

- 6) Tables are not in academic format.

Table 1 may not be in academic format, but the format concisely expressed the results of ANOVA. In addition, sentences in Material and Method part and Result and Discussion part make up for simple format of Table 1.