



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_25217
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOBASED FERTILIZERS - COMPARISON OF NUTRIENT CONTENT OF DIGESTATE/COMPOST
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I suggest to resume: From line 24 to 58 I suggest to change to introduction the sentences between line 89 to 94 I suggest to indicate the methods in line 127-129 Figure 4 must be delete. Introduce more references	We think that the text from line 24 to 58 explain the situation in European Union and the need of this analysis. We took-of mentioned sentences. We deleted Figure 4. These references satisfactorily illustrate our work.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		