



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_23994
Title of the Manuscript:	Weather Characteristics and Yield of Wheat in Bosnia and Herzegovina with emphasis on Climatic Change and Tuzla Canton
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The writer/s of this manuscript would need to include in their methodologies and materials, a table that would list the weather and soil conditions required for optimal wheat productivity in the area of study. In the methodology section of the manuscript the words 'testing' and 'analyses' were used with some inferences made. For this reason, the method/s used for testing/analysis must be mentioned and explained. There are too many loose ends and claims made without adequate scientific basis. I have highlighted a lot of these issues in the text with my editing. It is also noted that the sources of data used for this manuscript are secondary data. Hence relating these data sets with appropriate test/analyses would give better and more scientific justifications for the 'claims' made in the write-up. A hybrid of journal/review article would better qualify this manuscript than having it as strictly a review article please. The expression in English language is generally weak and would require rephrasing/recasting to convey the meaning/s intended by the author /s.	Authors are grateful to reviewer for serious and scientific comment our manuscript. We accepted majority suggestion s according our possibilities. We added data of estimated ideal growing season and extremely unfavourable growing season for wheat based on average yield data on the State Farm with emphasis that varieties, soil and crop management were mainly identical in both growing season. Calculation of correlations between meteorological data (precipitation and temperatures) was made. Language lecture was made by person which English is maternal language.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		