



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_23994
Title of the Manuscript:	Weather Characteristics and Yield of Wheat in Bosnia and Herzegovina with emphasis on Climatic Change and Tuzla Canton
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Weather Characteristics and Yield of Wheat in 3 Bosnia and Herzegovina with emphasis on 4 Climatic Change and Tuzla Canton. Study of the global situation of the wheat production In S and H. It presents interesting results for the considered regions with regard to the importance of wheat in this country. There is a need to: 1-Check English 2-Develop M and M: add the name of varieties used. All data of climatic parameters should be indicated in this section. 3-Results: There are not enough results showing the impact of climate parameters on yield like correlations between Production and precipitation, production and temperature, values of standard variation to show variability between years or correlations between the annual yields and ecartypes...(Many correlations are cites in the bibliography but the idea was not used). Sometimes there is some confusion between the results of this study and those of others authors. (Ex	Authors are grateful to reviewer for serious and scientific comment our manuscript. We accepted majority suggestion s according our possibilities. Language lecture was made by person which English is maternal language. Numerous wheat varieties of different genetic potential and origin were used in the country and there are not relevant data according their name and harvested area. For this reason, we cannot to answer on this segment of reviewer comment. Calculation of correlations between meteorological data (precipitation and temperatures) was made. Based on mentioned improvement of manuscript, authors have kindly request for possibility change of categorization of our article from review to original research article if is possible, but in case that it is impossible that authors are satisfied with existing categorization. In case of need we are ready to improve manuscript according the suggestions.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	those presented in table 1 relative to [1] [9] [10]..... Results are not sufficiently developed and discussed. Add statistical analysis to compare years and regions. I think that this paper should be revised deeply.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		