SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_JAERI_16741**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Efficacy of Kensil Fine, a diatomaceous earth, when applied to protect maize stored under simulated and real farmer situations in Kenya**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. The manuscript should generally be justified (align text to both the left and right margins). 2. All et al should carry a dot after al (*et al.*) and be in *italics*. 3. Line 15 – Marshall and Golob 1996 should be corrected to Marshall and Golob, 1996. 4. Line 17 – Stathers 2002 should be corrected to Stathers, 2002. 5. All citations should be properly checked and put a comma between author and year. 6. Line 50 – Delete comma between al and (7. Line 81 – Kg should be coreected to kg (lowercase k). 8. Conclusion should come before Recommendations. 9. Check the references carefully to comply by the Journal's guidelines.	All the 9 points were attended to and rectified.
Optional/General comments		