



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_22519
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL ACIDITY MANANGEMENT BY FARMERS IN THE KENYA HIGHLANDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Table 3 devotes a subsection given that it includes not only manure but also inorganic fertilizer. Subsection 3.7 is about manure only Significant levels for table 4. Where it says significant at 0.001 level it should say 0.01. p-values of 0.002, 0.006, etc are larger than 0.001, so you should change the significance	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some space is required between subsections. For example: between 2.2 and 2.3 ; figure 1 and 3.3	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Statistical tests are very simple, but they help to get very interesting results. Also, a nice discussion is given. Results are useful for extensionists	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>María Daniela Chavez</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>National Institute for agricultural technology, Argentina</i>