Editorial comments:

I have gone through the text and based on that my comments are as follow.

1. The paper is a lengthy one and many of the observation have been repeatedly presented into the paper

2. In my opinion the length of paper they reduce to 30% of original size that would be a better option. That will avoid most of the repeatation and unnecessary details.

Author's Feedback:

I appreciate your consideration; however disagree with the percentage of 30% of the text, without losing the sense and the fluidity of it, as well as the readability and absorption of important data above.

Follows copies with a few lines highlighted in green, although it considers appropriate, may be possible to suppress, if you consider really important.