



Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_22186
Title of the Manuscript:	Glyphosate influence on the soil microorganism sensibility, physiological parameters of the plant, isoflavones and residues in the seeds and soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
--	---------------------------	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Abstract is not written according to the standards of the journal (items: aims, study design, place and duration of the study, methodology, results and conclusion). However, the abstract is clear and precise. I suggest to add the missing items in the "Abstract" to be more complete information (e.g. duration of the study). Introduction. I think that Introduction is understandable, clear and comprehensive exposing the reader to the topic. Purpose and objective are scientifically appropriate. Material and Methods. OK. Very complete writing. The methodology used is correctly described. So described it allow experiment to be reproduced. Results and Discussion: OK. I think the experimental results are thoroughly and logically interpreted in the discussion and presented with clarity. Author compared the results obtained with the experiments and results of previous studies on the relevant subject. For this reason, I believe that this section is well written. Conclusion. Ok.	I agree with reviewer and corrected with yellow highlight that part in the manuscript. <i>I Completed the abstract as request.</i>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The Bibliography you must cite according to the "Rules of Writing Magazine." You should put italics the names of botanical species.	Ok, I fix It.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some corrections should be done on the content and form before its publication.	I did same corrections indicated by the reviewers, including formatting.