



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAERI_15140
Title of the Manuscript:	Concentrations of Cadmium (Cd), Copper (Cu), Lead (Pb) and Zinc (Zn) in Soils and Vegetable Organs from Periurban Agriculture Areas of Abidjan in Cote d'Ivoire

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Ok for authors' responses. But for international comprehension, they must to use "<i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> (Dah)", not "Hibiscus (Dah)" ! Hibiscus is not an usual name! Lines 63-64: Read "Two vegetable species characterized ... were concerned: <i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i>) locally named "Dah"", not "Two vegetable species characterized ... were concerned: <i>Hibiscus</i> (<i>Hibiscus sabdaroufa</i>) locally named "Dah"". " Line 154: Figure 2 shows metal concentration in soils as proportions. It's not a total amount of metals that was distributed in the three soils. It should present the concentration of metals in the soil as a table or histograms.	Scientific name should consider at least Genus and Species as binary name at first citation. Then after, only genus name is advocated in botanic, ecology and relevant disciplines (This is the rule). Therefore, specialists will agree and understand easily referring to Hibiscus as Hibiscus sabdariffa in the current MS. L 63-64: revised as suggested Yes! Figure 2 shows relative comparison as a proportion of soil content for a given metal: it is a variance of the figure 3 related to total amounts of soil metal contents by site. See Figure 3 showing histograms of total amounts by site