



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAERI_15995
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of seedling growth attributes to seed size variation of Albizia lebbeck
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Throughout the paper, authors should substitute growth attributes with the specific parameters that were measured. Materials and methods should be elaborated further to describe all the experiments more explicitly and what was measured/ assessed in each case Seed length and weight should be given as means with standard errors and also tested for statistical variation. This will add value to the paper as if the variation is explicit The control "mixed seeds" should be done away with as it introduces noise to a good piece of scientific output. Multiple regression as suggested in the manuscript should be considered – Authors to familiarise themselves with collinearity	Corrections done as recommended.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Avoid being wordy by deleting words that have no value. For example, in seed pre-treatment studies reference, the mention of a country like Malawi is not necessary since the subject was not comparing performance of pre-treated seeds across countries When comparing two subjects, use between. If more than two subjects the right word is among – Check and comply	Corrections done as recommended.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	All comments inserted under track change mode must not be adopted but are thought provoking to improve the manuscript where feasible. Authors at liberty to pick whatever adds value and ignore whatever they don't like or agree with except those under compulsory revision 1 above.	Most of the comments have been adopted and they really add value to the manuscript.
--	--	---