



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	2014_ARRB_14267
Title of the Manuscript:	Local livelihood of adjoining communities in Yankari Game Reserve, Bauchi-Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I suggest that the objective of the research work should be mentioned clearly.	This has been done, line 85
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The referencing style is not uniform as for example, line 272 and line 276.	Corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The socio-demographic can be better represented through a bar graph while the economic aspect through a pie diagram.	Simple percentage was opted for since it can be presented on single page for all the four communities