

REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY & BIOMARKER RESPONSE TO A DAILY DOSE OF TOOTHPASTE (CU) IN MALE ALBINO RATS (*Rattus norvegicus*)

Abstract

*This study was carried out to evaluate the biomarker response of male albino wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) to a daily dosage of toothpaste. Twenty four wistar rats were divided randomly into two groups and housed in wooden cages. The first group which is the test group was administered with varying doses (250ul, 270ul, 300ul) according to their body weight (0.00167mg/g body weight) per week for three weeks while on the fourth week no treatment was administered. This was done to observe the rate of recuperation from effects of treatment. The second group which was the control group were given distilled water of equal measurement with the treatment given to the test rats. Selected biochemical and hematologic parameters were used to evaluate the effect of toothpaste. Parameters used were; for enzyme and liver functions, alkaline aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and protein, for kidney sodium (Na⁺), potassium (K⁺), chloride (Cl) and bicarbonate (HCO₃) while for hematology white blood cells (WBC), red blood cells (RBC), platelets, lymphocytes, hemoglobin and packed cell volume (PCV) and sperm count was also used. The results showed significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the parameters when compared with the control group. These findings demonstrate that toothpaste caused detrimental effect on sperm parameters which could lead to infertility in males. There were also observed changes in liver, blood parameters and kidney which could lead to renal dysfunction when exposed to this substance for extended periods.*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Toothpaste is a personal care product used by millions across the world. Dentists recommend that individuals brush their teeth twice daily with a fluoride containing toothpaste to preserve oral hygiene and prevent dental caries. Patients undergoing orthodontic treatment however, are advised to brush their teeth much more frequently, usually after every meal. Children may sometimes ingest toothpaste due to the flavours which makes it “sweet”. This may put children undergoing orthodontic treatment at a higher risk of toothpaste ingestion due to their increased frequency of toothbrushing. There are numerous brands of toothpaste but they all have some major active ingredients that are general to all of them and essential in making

39 toothpaste. These ingredients are fluoride (sodium fluoride), abrasives (hydrated silica) and
40 detergents (sodium lauryl sulphate, SLS). Other inactive ingredients present are flavor,
41 sorbitol etc. (ADA, 2017). Sodium fluoride being a major component of toothpaste is an
42 inorganic salt. It is a chemical compound and an odourless, colourless crystalline solid
43 (Spellman, 2008) that came into use to prevent tooth decay in the 1940s (Murray *et al.*,
44 2003). It has a molecular formula of NaF. It is white to greenish in colour depending on its
45 level of purity (Haynes, 2011; British Medical Association., 2015). It is non-combustible and
46 corrosive to aluminium metal, it is known to be insoluble in alcohol but highly soluble in
47 water (O'Neil, 2001). Sodium Fluoride is used not just as fluorinate in toothpaste but also in
48 the preservation of wood, as a corrosion inhibitor, insecticide, cleaning agent, chemical
49 reagent and in glass and metallurgy industries (Aiguesperse *et al.*, 2005). Fluoride has been
50 studied extensively for use in the medical industry (Haguenauer *et al.*, 2000). Sodium
51 fluoride is generally safe for dental health at low concentrations but continuous ingestion of
52 large amounts of sodium fluoride poses possible dangers to health, with short term exposures
53 causing irritations to eyes, skin and nasal membranes (Green, 2005). Studies have shown that
54 fluorides, especially when in solution forms (aqueous forms) are more extensively absorbed
55 into the body and are classed as toxic by both inhalation and ingestion through oral routes
56 (Kapp, 2005) The rate at which fluoride (as Sodium Fluoride) is absorbed is inversely related
57 to the pH of the stomach contents (WHO, 2006). Acute exposure and toxicity can result in
58 nausea, abdominal pain, and diarrhea. Other possible effects are muscle paralysis, extremity
59 spasms (Whitford, 2011). Study has shown that continuous ingestion of fluoride causes
60 deleterious effects on skeletal (Cheng *et al.*, 2008), dental (Flaitz *et al.*, 2000), soft tissues
61 (brain), thyroid (Bathnagar *et al.*, 2005) and testis (Wan *et al.*, 2006). In a study it was
62 observed and documented by Shashi, (2003) that fluoride exposure can induce the loss of
63 neuronal cell bodies and damage synaptic structures in different regions of the brain
64 (Gopalakrishna *et al.*, 2002) as well as cause inhibition of enzyme activity and a decrease in
65 expression of membrane proteins (Barbar *et al.*, 2006). In the blood and liver of animals it
66 was observed that various changes like abnormal behavioural patterns and metabolism occur
67 after chronic administration of fluoride lesions (Ramakrishna and Saralakumari, 1991;
68 Denbesten *et al.*, 1995).

69 Beyond Sodium Fluoride, Sodium lauryl Sulfate (SLS) is also another major constituent of
70 toothpaste; Sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS), also known as sodium dodecyl sulfate, is an anionic
71 surfactant commonly used as an emulsifying cleaning agent in household cleaning products
72 (laundry detergents, spray cleaners, and dishwasher detergents) (Cara *et al.*, 2015), it's low

cost and desirable action as a foaming agent has led to its use in the formulations of toothpaste (Lippert, 2013). Like all detergents, SLS has been shown to cause skin and eye irritation and cause more skin related damage especially with prolonged exposure (Cara, *et al.*, 2015). A research carried out by Cosmetic Ingredient Review (2015) on the health and safety of the SLS chemical using rats as test subjects showed that SLS is harmful by the oral route, while using rabbits and guinea pigs as test subjects it was found to be harmful in the dermal route. SLS was also reported to irritate the respiratory tract and cause irritation in both skin and eye of rabbits. No gross lesions or microscopic abnormalities were found in a chronic oral feeding study in rats given 0.25%, 0.5% and 1.0% of SLS in their diet for two years (Fitzhugh and Nelson, 1968) and the same result was observed in using a different test subject in a chronic oral one-year oral toxicity study using beagle pups with 0%, 0.67%, 1.0%, or 2.0% SLS. This study is aimed at evaluating the possible effects of toothpaste ingestion (accidentally or intentionally) on hepato-renal functions, hematological and sperm parameters in male albino rats.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

88

2.1 Experimental setup

24 male albino wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) weighing between 180-200g were used. The animals were weighed and randomly allocated into two experimental groups. (CU) toothpaste, a popular brand of toothpaste used here in Nigeria was administered to the rats in mimicking concentrations commonly used daily. An average weight adult of 65kg uses about 1ml of toothpaste per toothwash, this body weight was used to estimate the concentration in grams administered to the rats based on their body weight. 1ml of the toothpaste was dissolved in 100ml distilled water to make a solution. The daily dose administered was based on the weekly body weights of the rats. A 1ml syringe was used for administration through the oral route. The experiment was carried out for four (4) weeks. The treatment was administered to the test group for three weeks while on the fourth week no treatment was given to the test group. This was done to observe how their body adapts and tries to recuperate and manage any effects from the treatment. Three (3) rats from the test group were sacrificed weekly and three (3) rats from the control group were sacrificed weekly. This was done to enable us collect blood and sperm samples for analysis and to allow for careful observation of the specific organs of the rats. Before each sacrifice each rat was weighed and its final body weight was recorded after overnight starvation. The animals were sacrificed by

jugular puncture while under anaesthesia. Blood samples collected were taken with both EDTA and Heparin bottles for laboratory analysis while the testes were collected for sperm analysis which was done using an electron microscope.

2.2 Biochemical Analysis

Standard procedures were ensured during the collection of the blood, sperm and liver samples prior to biochemical analysis. The plasma activity of Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) was determined using Radox kit (colorimetric method) of Rec (1972). Biuret method was used to determine the level of total protein in the samples according to the method of Flack and Woollen (Flack and Woollen, 1984). The plasma activity of aspartate transaminase was determined using Reitman and Frankel method (Reitman and Frankel, 1957). The serum electrolytes were determined using ISO 4000 Automated electrolyte analyser. SFRI, France. The plasma activity of alanine transaminase was determined using Reitman and Frankel method (Reitman and Frankel, 1957). The epididymal sperm count was determined with the Neubauer haemocytometer (Deep 1/10 mm, LABART, Munich, Germany) and light microscope at 40× magnifications.

2.3 Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using Tukey test at a level of 5% probability, using Assitat Software Version 7.7 en (2017).

3.0 RESULTS

The effects of oral administration of (CU) toothpaste on the Hepato-renal parameters in male albino rats are presented in Table 3.1. The result showed significant difference in the levels of electrolytes and hepatocyte parameters between the test and control across each week and between the test and average control (four week) in each week. Results from the first week revealed a higher value of sodium (Na) on test compared to the control with a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) but no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) among the test of potassium (K), chlorine (Cl), ALT, AST and their respective control. On the second week, there was no

significant difference ($P > 0.05$) among the test and the respective controls of sodium (Na), potassium (K), bicarbonate, AST and ALT. While on the third week, the analyzed result showed non-significant difference ($P > 0.05$) among sodium (Na), potassium (K), bicarbonate, AST and ALT and their respective control, except chlorine (Cl), which showed a significant difference ($P < 0.05$). Finally, on the fourth week, the result showed that there was significant difference ($P < 0.05$) among sodium (Na), potassium (K), chlorine (Cl), bicarbonate, ALT, AST and their respective control. The results on Sodium showed no significant difference between week one, week two, week three against the average control but showed significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in week four. The results on Potassium (K) showed no significant difference between week one, week two against the average control at ($P > 0.05$) but shows significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the tests of week 3 and week 4. Chlorine (Cl) level revealed there were no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between week one, week two, week three against the average control, but there were significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the fourth week. The result on bicarbonate revealed there were no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between week one, week two, week three, week four and the average control. The result on ALT, showed significant difference between week one, week two, week three, week four and the average control at ($P < 0.05$). There were significant difference in AST between week one, week two, week three, week four and the average control at ($P < 0.05$).

161

162 **TABLE 3.1: RESULT SHOWING THE EFFECT OF TOOTHPASTE ON SODIUM, POTASSIUM, CHLORIDE, BICARBONATE,**
 163 **AST AND ALT**

164

		Na (mmol/L)	K (mmol/L)	Cl (mmol/L)	HCO ₃ (mmol/L)	AST (UI/L)	ALT (UI/L)
WEEK 1	Control	133.67 ± 2.50 ^a	4.05 ± 0.25 ^a	100.67 ± 4.5 ^a	23.67 ± 0.5 ^a	17.67 ± 3.50 ^a	10.67 ± 1.50 ^a
	Test	143.00 ± 4.00 ^{b,A}	3.60 ± 0.20 ^{a,AB}	99 ± 1.00 ^{a,A}	23 ± 0.00 ^{a,AB}	27.33 ± 8.50 ^{a,B}	11.67 ± 0.50 ^{a,B}
WEEK 2	Control	157.67 ± 22.50 ^a	7.25 ± 2.55 ^a	109.67 ± 18.50 ^a	23.67 ± 1.50 ^a	34.67 ± 3.50 ^a	10.0 ± 2.00 ^a
	Test	138.67 ± 12.50 ^{a,A}	4.38 ± 0.05 ^{a,AB}	95 ± 7.00 ^{a,A}	25 ± 4.00 ^{a,A}	29.67 ± 1.50 ^{a,AB}	6.67 ± 0.50 ^{a,C}
WEEK 3	Control	136.67 ± 10.50 ^a	5.0 ± 0.60 ^a	86.67 ± 4.50 ^a	24.67 ± 3.50 ^a	23.67 ± 5.50 ^a	11.0 ± 4.0 ^a
	Test	129.0 ± 1.00 ^{a,AB}	3.9 ± 0.30 ^{b,AB}	85 ± 1.00 ^{a,ab}	19.67 ± 0.50 ^{a,B}	30.33 ± 3.51 ^{a,AB}	12.67 ± 0.5 ^{a,B}
WEEK 4	Control	149.67 ± 0.50 ^a	5.10 ± 0.10 ^a	106 ± 1.00 ^a	23.0 ± 1.00 ^a	23.0 ± 1.00 ^b	13.06 ± 1.0 ^b
	Test	111.67 ± 3.50 ^{b,B}	2.9 ± 0.20 ^{b,B}	76.66 ± 4.50 ^{b,B}	20.0 ± 1.00 ^{b,AB}	45.0 ± 4.00 ^{a,A}	24.67 ± 1.5 ^{a,A}
AVERAGE CONTROL	Control	142.50 ± 11.83 ^A	5.43 ± 1.13 ^A	98.83 ± 9.16 ^A	23.83 ± 1.83 ^{AB}	25.16 ± 4.16 ^B	10.50 ± 2.5 ^B

165 ^{a-b} Different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05) within each week

166 ^{A-B} Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (P<0.05) across the weeks

167

168

For the haematological parameters, on the first week, there was a higher value on the test samples compared to the control in the Packed Cell Volume (PCV), haemoglobin (Hb) and red blood cell (RBC) with a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the test and control while for White blood cells (WBC), Platelets and Lymphocytes there were no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) between the test and average. The second and third week results both indicate no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in Packed Cell Volume (PCV), Haemoglobin (Hb), Red blood cell (RBC), White blood cells (WBC) and Platelets while Lymphocytes count indicate a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the test and control. In the fourth week there were significant difference in all haematological parameters except the Red blood cells (RBC).

There were no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in Packed cell volume (PCV) in week 1, week 2 and week 3 when compared with the average control but there was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the week 4. No significant difference ($P > 0.05$) was seen in the fourth week for Haemoglobin between the test and average control but significant difference ($P < 0.05$) was noted all through the first three weeks. Comparing the test with the average control significant difference was seen in both Red Blood Cells (RBC) and White blood cells (WBC) through the four weeks. Comparing the test with the average control there were significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in Platelets across the four weeks. Lymphocytes showed no significant difference all through the four weeks when the test was compared to the average control. The result for sperm count analysis, revealed that results from week 1 to week 4 all had a lower value of sperm count on the test when compared to the control, with a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the control and the treatment although the result showed no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the test and the average control across the four weeks.

192 **TABLE 3.2: RESULT OF THE EFFECT OF TOOTHPASTE ON PROTEIN, PACKED CELL VOLUME, HAEMOGLOBIN, RED**
193 **BLOOD CELLS, WHITE BLOOD CELLS, PLATELETS, LYMPHOCYTES**

194

		PROTEIN (g/L)	PCV (%)	Hb (g/dl)	RBC (X ¹²)	WBC (X ⁹)	PLATELETS (X ⁹)	LYMPHOCYTES (X ⁹)
WEEK 1	Control	67.70 ± 12.19 ^a	26.50 ± 1.50 ^b	9.00 ± 0.30 ^b	4.35 ± 0.15 ^b	9.00 ± 2.50 ^a	270 ± 0.00 ^a	70 ± 5.00 ^a
	Test	59.01 ± 1.57 ^{a,A}	39.50 ± 0.50 ^{a,A}	13.13 ± 0.15 ^{a,A}	6.23 ± 0.25 ^{a,A}	10.73 ± 1.25 ^{a,A}	310 ± 40.0 ^{a,BC}	70 ± 0.00 ^{a,B}
WEEK 2	Control	72.31 ± 3.36 ^a	32.55 ± 2.95 ^a	9.90 ± 0.90 ^a	5.68 ± 0.89 ^a	9.85 ± 5.65 ^a	335 ± 105.0 ^a	84 ± 1.40 ^a
	Test	66.01 ± 8.84 ^{a,A}	35.15 ± 2.05 ^{a,AB}	10.85 ± 0.75 ^{a,AB}	6.43 ± 0.67 ^{a,AB}	12.0 ± 3.20 ^{a,A}	333 ± 108.5 ^{a,B}	72 ± 1.55 ^{b,B}
WEEK 3	Control	69.23 ± 2.15 ^a	32.84 ± 3.95 ^a	10.36 ± 1.15 ^a	6.04 ± 0.64 ^a	7.4 ± 2.85 ^a	423 ± 108.0 ^a	78 ± 1.40 ^b
	Test	63.75 ± 2.55 ^{b,A}	26.23 ± 3.85 ^{a,CD}	8.15 ± 1.35 ^{a,CD}	4.38 ± 1.01 ^{a,B}	4.36 ± 2.50 ^{a,B}	127 ± 62.50 ^{a,C}	86 ± 0.65 ^{a,A}
WEEK 4	Control	73.27 ± 2.15 ^a	39.05 ± 2.35 ^a	13.83 ± 0.45 ^a	6.90 ± 1.60 ^a	6.25 ± 0.05 ^a	416 ± 3.50 ^b	84 ± 0.70 ^a
	Test	62.90 ± 3.84 ^{b,A}	22.50 ± 1.30 ^{b,D}	6.50 ± 0.90 ^{b,D}	4.36 ± 0.15 ^{a,B}	4.33 ± 0.11 ^{b,B}	615 ± 61.0 ^{a,A}	51 ± 2.55 ^{b,C}
AVERAGE CONTROL	Control	69.07 ± 5.9 ^A	30.63 ± 2.8 ^{BC}	9.76 ± 0.78 ^{BC}	5.31 ± 0.5 ^{AB}	8.76 ± 3.67 ^{AB}	342.83 ± 71 ^B	77.53 ± 2.6 ^{AB}

195 ^{a-b} Different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05) within each week

196 ^{A-B} Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (P<0.05) across the weeks

197

TABLE 3.3: RESULT OF THE EFFECT OF TOOTHPASTE ON SPERM COUNT

		SPERM COUNT (X10⁶)
	Control	475 ± 125 ^a
WEEK 1	Test	455 ± 5 ^{b,A}
	Control	575 ± 25 ^a
WEEK 2	Test	225 ± 225 ^{b,A}
	Control	450 ± 150 ^a
WEEK 3	Test	125 ± 125 ^{a,A}
	Control	650 ± 50 ^a
WEEK 4	Test	250 ± 250 ^{b,A}
AVERAGE CONTROL	Control	500 ± 100 ^A

^{a-b} Different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05) within each week

^{A-B} Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (P<0.05) across the weeks

4.0 DISCUSSION

ALT and AST are general traditional biomarkers used widely for detecting drug induced liver injury (Yukuta *et al.*, 2004). In this study, increase or decrease in the levels of these biomarkers is defined by comparing the values obtained from the test animals with the control. The liver enzyme assay showed a gradual increase in the serum levels of AST and ALT with a significant difference in AST (P < 0.05) while there was also a significant difference in ALT (P < 0.05) the increase in the level of serum AST and ALT is an indicator of increased activity of the liver possibly due to the abnormal presence of sodium fluoride (NaF), Sodium lauryl sulphate (SLS) and other components of the toothpaste that are foreign to the body system. The results also showed that there was a significant difference (P< 0.05) in protein and there was a decrease in the protein levels of the test rats as compared to the

control, this might be due to possible negative effect on NaF on the Liver. This decrease although inconsistent with the work of (Green, 2005) is consistent with the work of Debensten *et al.*, (1995), Barbar *et al.* (2006) and Anamika *et al.*, (2012). A more recent study done by Imtithal and Baraa, (2017) indicated that sodium fluoride caused a significant decrease in serum protein and albumin concentrations. There were generally low values of sodium (Na), potassium (K), bicarbonate in the test compared to the control respectively. This comparison revealed a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the last week when comparing the weeks treatment with the average control. This might be because of increased secretion of the electrolytes from the body during urine formation. The toothpaste components may cause an abnormal inhibition of the release of hormones (Anti-Diuretic Hormone) that regulates electrolyte balance. This is because fluoride has been shown to negatively affect the thyroid gland that plays a major role in controlling our body metabolism and internal homeostasis (Bhathnagar *et al.*, 2005), and exposure to it according to Gopalakrishna *et al.*, (2002) can induce the loss of neuronal cell bodies and damage synaptic structures in different regions of the brain. The low level of leukocytes (WBC) recorded on the third and fourth week when compared to the control might be linked to the inflammatory effects of Sodium Fluoride on the lymphatic organ, this is in agreement with Maryam *et al.*, (2017). The gradual decrease in PCV, Hb and RBC from week two to week four indicates that NaF has a negative effect on blood when introduced into the system over a long period of time although the difference wasn't significant, Maryam *et al.*, (2017) also reported a significant lower blood indices in their experiment. For the sperm count, Results from week 1 to week 4 all had a lower values of sperm count on the test when compared to the control, with a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the control and the treatment, this significant negative effect of NaF is in agreement with the work of Wang *et al.*, (2006) who reported a deleterious effect of fluoride on the testis which is the site for sperm production, and also agreed with work done by

Chinoy and Sequira, (1989) and Arora *et al.*, (2010) who observed in their experiment that there was a significant decrease in the epididymal sperm count when sodium fluoride which is a major component of toothpaste was administered to rats. Based on this study, efforts should be made to prevent the accidental or intentional ingestion of toothpaste especially by children and orthodontic patients.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The results from the study clearly points out that a prolonged ingestion of toothpaste generally adversely affects the functioning of the liver, kidney and also the sperm count negatively which may be associated with renal dysfunction and infertility.

Competing Interests Disclaimer:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

6.0 REFERENCES

- Aigueperse, J., Chemla, M., Guer, J.P. et al. (2005). "Fluorine Compounds Inorganic in Ullman". Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Weinheim: Wiley- VCH.
- Anamika, J., Komal, S. and Ramtej, J. V. (2012), Effects of sodium fluoride on DNA, RNA and Protein contents in Liver of Mice and it's Amelioration by *Camellia sinensis*. Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica - Drug Research, 69(3) 551-555
- American Dental Association (2017). Toothpaste. Retrieved on February 18, 2017 from https://www.ada.org/en/member-center/oral-health-topics/toothpastes?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C2552561422
- Arora, B., Beena., Kumar, N., Singh, M., Sood, S. (2010). Effect of duration of fluoride exposure on the reproductive system in male rabbits. J Hum Reprod Sci 3(3): 148-152.

Barbar, S., Bhatnagar, M., Bhatnagar, R., Meena, P. et al. (2006). Biochemical changes in brain and other tissues of young adult female mice from fluoride in their drinking water. *Fluoride*. 39(4): 280-284.

Bhatnagar, M., Mondal, N. K., Susheela, A.K. (2005). Excess fluoride ingestion and thyroid hormone derangements in children living in Delhi, India. *Fluoride*. 28(2): 98-102.

Björndahl, L., Söderlund, I and Kvist U. (2003). Evaluation of the one-step eosin- nigrosin staining technique for human sperm vitality assessment. *Hum Reprod*. 18(4):813–6.

British National Formulary: BNF (2015). (69th ed.) British Medical Association.

Cara, A. M. B., Julia, L. M., Lauren, B. W., Heidi, S. R., Shannon, R. L., and Kay, E. G.(2015), Human and Environmental Toxicity of Sodium Lauryl Sulfate (SLS): Evidence for Safe Use in Household Cleaning Products. *Environ Health Insights*. **9**: 27–32.

Cheng, Z., Nui, R., Sun, Z., Wang, J., Wang, J. (2008). Effects of fluoride and lead on locomotor behaviour and expression of Nissl body in brain of adult rats. *Research report fluoride* 41(4) : 276-282

Chinoy, N.J., Sequeira, E. (1989). Effects of fluoride on the histoarchitecture of reproductive organs of the male mouse. *ReprodToxicol*. 3:261-7.

Cosmetic Ingredient Review (1983). Final report on the safety assessment of sodium lauryl sulfate. *Int. J. Toxicol*. 2(7): 127-81.

Cosmetic Ingredient Review (2015). Final report on the safety Assessment of sodium lauryl sulfate and ammonium lauryl sulfate. *Int. J. Toxicol*. 24(1):1-102.

Debensten, P.K., Keman, W.J., Mullenix, P.J., Schunior, A. (1995). Neurotoxicity of sodium fluoride in rats. *NeurotoxicolTeratol* 17(2): 169-77.

Fitzhugh, O.G. and Nelson, A.A. (1968). Chronic oral toxicities of surface active agents. *J. Pharm. Sci*. 37: 29-32.

Flack, C. P. and Woollen, J. W. (1984). Prevention of interference by dextran with biuret-type assay of serum proteins. *Clinical Chemistry*, 30(4). 559-561.

Flaitz, C.M., Hicks, M.J. (2000). Enamel caries formation and lesion progression with a fluoride dentrifice and a calcium-phosphate containing fluoride dentrifice: a polarized light microscopy. *ASDC J Dent Child* 67(1): 21-8.

Gopalakrishna, B., Hanumanth, R.S. et al. (2002). Histological changes in the brain of young fluoride intoxicated rats. *Fluoride*. 35(1): 12- 21.

Green, S. (2005). “Fluoride”. *Encyclopedia of Toxicology* (2nd ed.). Elsevier. Pp.342-343.

Haguenauer, D., Shea, B.H., Tugwell,P., Welch, V., Wells, G. (2000). “Fluoride for treating post-menopausal osteoporosis”. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*.

- Haynes, W.M. (2011). Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. (12th ed.) CRC Press p194.
- Imtithal, A. M. and Baraa, N. A.(2017), Effect of sodium fluoride on liver functions of rats and amelioration by CoQ10, Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 5(5). 883-893.
- Kapp, R. (2005). “Fluorine”. Encyclopedia of Toxicology. (2nd ed.) Elsevier. Pp.343-346.
- Lippert, F. (2013). “An Introduction to Toothpaste – It’s Purpose, History and Ingredients”. In Van Loveren, Cor. Toothpaste. Monographs in Oral science. Vol. 23 pp.1-14.
- Maryam, A., Muhammad, H. S., Khushbukhat, K., Kanwal, Z. and Arif-un-Nisa, N. (2017), Haematological evaluation of sodium fluoride toxicity in oryctolagus cuniculus. Toxicology Reports. 4,450-454.
- Murray, J.J., Nunn, J.H., Steele, J.G. (2003). The Prevention of Oral Disease. OUP Oxford. p.53.
- O’Neil, M.J. (2001). The Merck Index- An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and Biologicals. 13th ed. p. 1540.
- Rec, G. S. C. C. (1972). Colorimetric Method for Serum Alkaline Phosphatase Determination. *Journal of Clinical Chemistry and Clinical Biochemistry*, 10(2): 182
- Ramakrishna Rao, P. and Saralakumari, D. (1991). Red blood cell glucose metabolism in human chronic fluoride toxicity. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* **47**:834-839
- Reitman, S and Frankel, S. (1957). A colorimetric method for determination of serum glutamate oxaloacetate and glutamic pyruvate transaminase. *American Journal of clinical pathology*. 28: 56-58.
- Shashi, A. (2003). Histopathological Investigation of Fluoride-induced Neurotoxicity in rabbits. Fluoride. 36(2) pp.95-105, A., Jolly, S.S. (1970). Chronic Toxic Effects on the Skeletal System in Fluorides and Human Health. Geneva, WHO 238-49.
- Spellman, F.R. (2008). Handbook of water and Waste water Treatment Plant Operations. (2nded) CRC Press p.131
- Wan, S., Wang, J., Zhang, J. (2006). Fluoride induced changes in the expression of epidermal growth factor and its receptor in testicular tissues of young male rats. Fluoride. 39: 121-125.
- Whitford, G.N. (2011). Acute Toxicity of ingested fluoride. Monogr. Oral. Sci. 22: 66-80. World Health Organisation. Environmental Health Criteria 227 Fluorides (2002). Retrieved November, 2006.
- Yutaka, T., Yuki, K., Hiroyuki, H., Yuji, M., Kiego, M., Takeki, U., Motonobu, U. and Mikinori, T. (2014). Diagnostic and predictive performance and standard threshold of traditional biomarkers for drug-induced liver injury in rats. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 35(2): 165 – 172.