



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_43608
Title of the Manuscript:	Harvesting of Chlorella variabilis Biomass by Moringa oleifera Seed-Induced Sedimentation.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>No.1. The title should be "Harvesting of Chlorella variabilis Biomass using Moringa oleifera Seed-Induced Sedimentation"</p> <p>No.2. Not satisfied with the abstract (Rewrite properly)</p> <p>No.3. Whether the subheading "2.2 Preparation of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> seed" written correctly.</p> <p>No.4. At least 3-4 photographs should be given what you have written under the Heading 2 (Materials & Methods)</p> <p>No.5. Units should be written uniformly throughout the manuscript (either use g/l or g/L)</p> <p>No.6. No clarity regarding the statistical tool used by the author(s).</p> <p>No.7. No clarity in the conclusion (should be rewritten properly).</p> <p>No.8. No clarity in Figure no. 3.</p> <p>No.9. Optical density (OD) Whether is it denoting the transmittance or absorbance values?</p> <p>No.10. References are not in proper format.</p>	<p>1. The title has been changed as suggested.</p> <p>2. The abstract was written based on the guideline to the authors for this journal. However, I have now written it as you suggested. For me, any format you adopt is OK.</p> <p>3. Subheading 2.2 has been modified</p> <p>4. We have not attached photographs</p> <p>5. We have changed to "g/L" throughout</p> <p>6. The statistical analysis has been included under subheading 2.7</p> <p>7. The conclusion has been modified</p> <p>8. Figure three has been explained better</p> <p>9. OD is the optical density which is the same as the absorbance</p> <p>10. The references were written according to the guideline for this journal.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		