



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_42202
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of iron (III) reducing antioxidant capacity for Manuka honey and comparison with ABTS and other methods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Figure 1 must be prepared again. It should not copy and paste. In section 2.2 antioxidant assay; antioxidant capacity part should be given for each method separately with supporting literature. Thus, what exactly is expressed can be better understood In section 2.4.3 should be better explained and supported with the literature. Table 3 should be given on the text not after the references.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Figure 1 has many shifting elements. The figure was inserted as a picture to avoid shifting shapes. All antioxidant assays are described in our previous MS (ref. 19-22]. The style of presentation was brief to keep within a 4000 word limit. Section 2.4.3 is referenced (ref. 11]. Otherwise the content is due to our original work. Table 3 has been moved from the Appendix to line 164
Optional/General comments		We are grateful for the referee for their constructive comments