



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_41709
Title of the Manuscript:	Partial Purification and Characterization of Peroxidase from Calotropis procera leaves as a Potential Tool for Remediation of Phenolic Pollutant from Industrial Waste
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper ready to go with minor revisions	
Minor REVISION comments	There should be more reasoning how the studies peroxidase can be alternative to HRP Figure 1 should be revised. How those Vmax and Km values can be obtained from it, it is not clear. Numbers should be highlighted. Was residual catalase activity measured? Was the same plant worked for peroxidase activity under similar conditions? Overall, it is a good paper.	Noted.
Optional/General comments		